

Black Liberation Army (BLA)
Study Guide
(1977-1978)



CONTENTS:

1. BLACK NATION	4
2. THE REVOLUTIONARY BLACK LUMPEN PROLETARIAT	35
3. CRITICISM AND SELF- CRITICISM	43
4. COMBAT LIBERALISM	50
5. DIALECTICAL MATERIALISM AT A GLANCE	52
6. DEMOCRATIC CENTRALISM	68
7. CLASS STRUCTURE OF SOCIETY	72
8. DICTATORSHIP OF THE PROLETARIAT	87
9. POLITICAL ECONOMY	102
10. TERRORISM AND BLACK REVOLUTION	117
11. POLITICAL DICTIONARY	121

INTRODUCTION

Although the concepts in this study guide have been reduced to simplified terms, we still anticipate that the new recruit, or other comrades without a background for this type of knowledge, will require a few tutoring sessions. in fact, group and collective discussion on each topic of this guide, as well as the supplements to follow, should serve as the basic format for cadre political education classes. Each command is encouraged to reproduce this study guide in the exact format set out here, any deletions, modifications, or changes must be cleared through the CC.

*Long Live The Black Revolution Worldwide
Long Live The BLA
Build To Win!*

COORDINATING COMMITTEE
NYURBA

BLACK NATION

PART I

THE NATURE OF BLACK REVOLUTION

As Black Revolutionaries we recognize the existence of the Black Nation in diaspora. That is to say we hold that the tens of millions of Black people scattered throughout the amerikkkan industrial centers constitute an oppressed nation, a colony. We seek the liberation of that colony as a nation, and not as a mere class or racial minority. In other words, we reject Proletarian Revolution in the generally accepted sense of the term, and opt for Black Revolution.

For the Black Nation we boldly seek a land base in which our people can live in peace, brother/sisterhood, and human dignity. Upon this land we would cut ourselves loose, in so far as it is possible, from all ties with capitalism, and establish a human society, a system of socialism in which the means of production are owned by the whole people; where there is equitable distribution of wealth, and the spiritual and intellectual development of no person suffers because of economic want or deprivation of human rights.

We identify the enemies of the Black Nation—not as white people per se, but as the Monopoly capitalist class and its agents be they black or white. While we fully acknowledge the uniqueness of the black soul, and are aware of its beauty and latent power, and are convinced that its creativity has only barely been scratched and to some extent we concede that many of us harbor a certain amount of black chauvinism, yet we are not reverse racist. We do not seek to deny the essential humanity of other races, nor seek to exploit or oppress, or necessarily exclude other races. If there are those among other races, such as John Brown, the S.L.A., the Weather people, Chicanos or whomsoever, who can grasp our vision of a human nation with human principles, and who are willing to pay the blood sacrifices required, and suffer along with us the agonies and ecstasies of Nation

building--then we hold they should/shall be welcomed into the Black Nation with open arms: with full citizenship, and equal rights in every respect. The Black Nation to be shall be founded on human principles. We have suffered, and the world has suffered enough inhumanities of man against man and race against race. We will have none of it.

Not so with our class enemy. The differences between the black masses and the bourgeois class has an economic base that is wholly irreconcilable. It is the greed and unscrupulous drive for profits of this class in one or another of its several forms (chattel slavery, wage slavery, etc.) which lies behind our three centuries of travail. At their hands we have suffered brutal and harsh oppression beyond the ken of civilized imagination. Stripped utterly of human status, we were reduced to the level of animals with no more rights than a draft horse. At the whim of our bourgeois masters we were whipped, raped, maimed, murdered, denied family ties and all human development. Similar oppression continues even today in direct and indirect forms. Behind the guise of white chauvinism infested in an entire nation of 200 million white workers the dehumanizing conditions yet exist--in the form of denial of opportunity and democratic rights. The social conditions imposed upon us yet heap untold misery upon our people. Genocide, spiritually and physically, continues systematically and premeditated--black on black crime, killer cops, last hired and first fired. All this and more can be traced ultimately to the imperatives of the bourgeois systems of money-making. These contradictions are irreconcilable and insoluble within the context of the system. We shall break loose from the system, nor allow bourgeoisdom to infest and infiltrate the black ranks; for it is a humanly alien creed, exploitative, deceitful, selfish, divisive. We shall persecute the class enemy ruthlessly, within and without...root and branch.

We clearly perceive that to tear the Black Nation away from bourgeois-racist amerikkka entails a war and blood letting of such magnitude the likes of which these shores have not witnessed for more than a hundred years, But such a war is inevitable considering

our only other alternative is continued oppression and slavery. It is as the righteous comrade John Brown once stated: "...This guilty land shall not repent except by blood." Undoubtedly, much of the blood will be black blood. Thousands of us shall die. Perhaps tens of thousands. Millions of us will be imprisoned, incarcerated, interned. Families will be dislocated, torn away from loved ones, we will be tortured, raped and murdered. But we will fight on and we will win.

We will win because, among other things, we have a unique advantage which no other enemy of the amerikkkan ruling class has ever had. Although the amerikkkan ruling class sent armies and/murder to whatever peoples and nations that have opposed their greedy aspirations, none of these nations and peoples have been able to send troops and murder back to the amerikkkan ruling class. Hence being scattered throughout the belly of the beast, oppressive as it is has this one advantage--we have access to his entrails, his vital organs. And we shall take full advantage of this access, We shall strike boldly, ruthlessly, relentlessly. For it is War! War without terms!

There will be no honorable terms in this war, no "international rules." We will not be honored with the Recognition of soldiers of a nation recognized and accepted in the world community of nations. We can be assured they will use everything in their considerable power to block such international recognition. They will label us as criminals and terrorists--outside the wall of protection of humane rules. Nor shall we ask them for any quarter, though from time to time we may put on a show of demanding they abide by their own lofty proclamations of justice, due process, etc. But such shows will be tactics to expose their true beastly nature, We know what to expect before hand. These are the same people who murder our babies in church. KKK, CIA, they're all the same. Just like the CIA went through the mafia to strike at the Premier of Cuba, like-wise they used KKK contacts to hit Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. CIA, KKK, Cosa Nostra, Fascism, all these kindred creeds have exploitation and oppression of peoples at their core and they're all interlocked. Hence, if there perchance are those who are yet blinded by bourgeois propaganda

and think the monopoly capitalist oligarchy is somehow a nobler breed of pit viper, throw it out of your minds.

But again we reiterate that the enemy is the bourgeois ruling class and its agents and lackeys and not the white workers. We must take great care in establishing this point, and in doing so contribute to the isolation of the enemy and possibly cut him off from his base of support among the white working class. Hopefully, we may cause a nation-wide division among them on a par with the division which occurred during the Vietnam War. We can only do this by consistently and clearly avoiding brushes with the white working class, and heighten the contrast by delivering devastating blows to the bourgeoisie. Anything we do to the bourgeoisie will be fair.

Our strategy in winning independence and separation is, simply stated, the strategy of Moses. To so plague and afflict the capitalist pharaoh class--that they will be glad to let 'our people go. Black revolution is a colonial question which seeks not the destruction of the bourgeoisie as a class, nor to establish socialism in amerikkka, but has the limited objective of securing liberation of the black colony through convincing the capitalist oligarchy that, although the loss of the colony is a fundamental set-back, that with the demands for massive reparations and all, it would yet be to their greater advantage to surrender this part of their empire, that hopefully they may salvage the remainder.

That being the case we have the strategic advantage of not advocating the destruction of amerikkka or the overthrow of the government thereby incensing the blind patriotism of 200 million apolitical, apathetic white workkkers. The bourgeois leaders will not be able to raise the cry that they are fighting to "save democracy," restore "liberty," and "equality," etc. They can only raise the tired old cry of "law 'n order."

The question then is how much pain must be inflicted or will the ruling oligarchy ever surrender the black colony: will they hold on to it at all cost? In our estimation the black liberation, skillfully fought, can inflict such strategic losses so as to threaten the loss of the entire empire. We pose no direct threat to the multi-multi-billion dollar international holdings of the bourgeoisie; the copper holdings in Chile, the oil holdings in the Middle East, the rubber, gold, diamonds, uranium, lead, diatomite, thorium, tungsten, and endless other multi-billion dollar holdings which make up their African and Third World imperialist empire. But should we inflict strategic blows at the various key power and industrial centers, blow up Wall Street, the Pentagon, defense plants? The capitalist ruling class cannot fight a war at home and at the same time maintain their overseas empire. Things would become pretty much out of joint for the pigs. The contradictions would all sharpen and accelerate their pace of development. Bourgeois priorities would become a jumble, their judgment distorted, their impulses erratic, and confusion would reign. Should we sustain such a struggle for just a few years the beast would be so crippled that the struggles of the Third World nations to liberate themselves would be almost assured victory, and the whole international system would collapse in upon them. The rise of the black amerikkan in serious and sustained warfare with the capitalist oppressor would signal the rise of the world's masses in revolution. Urban guerrilla warfare may not have been successful as it has been applied in South America in attempts to destroy bourgeois regimes and establish socialism. It is uniquely suited, however, for our own unique colonial situation, i.e., a colony in the belly of the beast. If mere riots and social disorder will gain significant concessions, indications are that skillfully applied urban guerrilla warfare on the same scale has a high chance of succeeding.

II. WHITHER NEW AFRICA?

If during the course of our struggle we serve as a catalyst that will arouse the white workers into putting an end to the rule of monopoly capital, and establishing a socialist America, our problem may be somewhat simplified. Under socialism integration into America becomes a viable alternative. We can possibly accept the definition of "black proletariat," and be assured that the plight of our people will be qualitatively changed within the context of a socialist America. Self-determination in the form of community control of political, economical, and social institutions will have meaning.

But should our struggle fail to ignite the proletariat revolution in amerikkka--yet succeed in winning independence for the Black Colony; that is to say, force assent of the bourgeois oligarchy to separate and set-up our nation, the question remains--where to locate the new Black Nation?

The black-belt south (the states of Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Louisiana, S. Carolina. So-called black-belt because of the color of the fertile soil, not because of the color of the people), has currently been the subject of much controversy on the left concerning the existence of the Black Nation. [See Part IV of this paper, "Proletariat Revolution--No!"]

The Republic of New Africa has already initiated a program to reclaim these lands which at one time contained a majority black population. They have done considerable research in the legitimizing of this claim: have begun cooperative land development projects, and have called for a national black plebiscite to gain the approval and recognition of the black colony. Indications are that the black masses would do well to get behind this movement with the full weight of our revolutionary thrust.

On the other hand, these lands do not hold any particular nostalgia for us as a "national homeland." Also to make the imperialist surrender these lands may be too much for the imperialist to handle. While they substantially control the institutions of power, and the opinion shaping machinery, yet if we make it sufficiently hot for them to seek a solution in keeping with our demands, the masses of white workers who live in this area, and necessarily have to be "dispossessed" are likely to raise such strenuous and violent objections that the oligarchy may not be able to persuade them to comply, or overcome their opposition. In spite of this possibility we should not relinquish our claim to these lands because it is a starting point with considerable legitimacy and moral justification.

It may be that we have other more viable alternatives, however. Marcus Garvey, in his "back-to-Africa" movement of the 20's, had planned to petition the League of Nations to turn over one of the former Germany colonies, either Tanzania, or Southwest Africa (Namibia) to the National Negro Improvement Association for the relocation of the Black Colony. Such an alternative presents its own set of problems, and may not be at all practical today, yet we submit it deserves careful and detailed consideration. Tanzania, of course, has become an independent nation on its own so it is therefore out of the question. Namibia is another matter.

Namibia has an area of 317,817 square miles. That is a land area 60 thousand square miles larger than Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Louisiana, and S. Carolina combined. Yet it has a population total of less than a million people. It is currently under the boot-heel of South Afrikkka which claims it as a "protectorate." But the United Nations has ordered South Afrikkka out of Namibia, and South Afrikkka has refused to comply. Hence the Namibians are waging armed struggle under the leadership of the Southwest African People's Organization (SWAPO).

Perhaps investigation will reveal that for some reason or another Namibia may not be able to economically accommodate 20 or 25 million black Americanized people. And certainly we would have to seek an agreement with SWAPO and other black political/military organs which are waging the struggle there. Such an agreement must necessarily include some type of "affirmative action" guarantees in respect to the indigenous people to ensure that another class system does not arise on their backs such as occurred in Liberia.

The South Afrikkans, on the other hand, with their amerikkkan imperialist allies, Gulf Oil, et al., underwriting investments and enterprises in Namibia,--they are likely to have heart palpitations at the thought of 25 million angry, highly sophisticated blacks being deposited at their doorstep. But if we win an agreement with SWAPO, and approval of the UN., and present sufficient threat to the amerikkkan empire, then opposition or not--South Afrikkka is in trouble.

But, and again, the fact remains that whether we choose the black-belt south, Namibia, or wherever, very little will be accomplished until we have soundly rebuked the monopoly capitalist class.

PART III. STRATEGY

NATION BUILDING - THE BLACK (NEW AFRICAN) COMMUNES

The Black Nation must first be built in diaspora. That is, through social practice convert the hearts and minds of the fractured and scattered Black colony into one heart and one mind. This is a major task of the Black Liberation Movement. It involves the questions of unity, re-education, and winning mass commitment to the one aim of nation building. The key is to overcome alienation. General human alienation and black self-alienation. General human alienation is expressed in the dog-eat-dog, individualistic and selfish creeds that have been imbued in us. Black self alienation is expressed in the lack of national confidence, or faith in black ability. There are, in fact, a great many of our people who yet question whether black people are capable of high and noble motivation in their relations with one another. Other than the imperialist guns, it is mass alienation that stands as the major obstacle to Black Liberation.

We must overcome the alienation and apathy of the 25-30 million black people, and mere preaching, propagandizing, and singing hit tunes about unity will not do it. Such things are valuable and certainly have their place, but it was not mere preaching and propagandizing that imbued us with black-self alienation, but rather it was social practice in racist amerikkka. Therefore, it is in the area of actual social practice that the solution must be sought. We must put forth a program of action that will involve the black masses directly and consistently, man, woman and child and older people in unalienated relations with one another. To establish black communes each under the single banner of The New Black Nation, or "New Africa" or whatever we choose to name it. Selfless love is the essence of unalienated relations. Each enclave, each commune a brick in the edifice of the new nation. Black love, self-less love, love without contradiction--revolutionary love is the rock upon which we found our house--and the gates of hell shall not prevail.

Though in establishing the black commune, and along with it the black cultural strategy, we must be careful we move forward and not backward. Adopting African names, and wearing African garb is well and good in that it denotes a new consciousness, reclaims the black identity, and symbolizes a rejection of the oppressors culture. But we should keep in mind that culture is established in the process of revolution also. The heroes/heroines that arise during this period, become national heroes/heroines for all the time, The dates of memorable occurrences become holidays; the acts and practices which are taken up by the people during the course of struggle are later instituted as ritual or national custom. In short, there is no need to go back in African history to import a culture, but rather our cultural revolution need only follow the course outlined by the imperatives of our struggle.

And so the imperative of our struggle is to overcome human and black-self alienation. Therefore, to obtain a clearer idea of what direction our cultural thrust must take let us briefly examine the nature of alienation.

THE NATURE OF ALIENATION

In the first place, mass social alienation or human alienation of any historical epoch, be it slave, feudal, or capitalist society stems from alienated labor. When in the process of production the product of labor is taken from the laborer and turned against him in that it goes to pay for the very machinery and organs of state and society which are oppressing him. Such organs include prisons, police, education (indoctrination) and media (propaganda) systems and so forth. The alienation of labor does not stop at these objective manifestations but is further transformed subjectively into alienation of human self, i.e., human consciousness and qualities become stunted and/or warped. Instead of mass intelligence and reason we have mass ignorance. Instead of discipline we have mass apathy which stems from essential powerlessness. Instead of love, we have hatred and Téseatnant;, instead of consideration of one's fellows--selfishness,

instead of collectivism, individualism. Karl Marx in analyzing the effects of alienated labor upon the masses states thusly:

"As a result, therefore, man (the worker) only feels himself freely active in his animal functions eating, drinking, procreating or at most in his dwelling and in dressing-up, etc.; and in his functions he no longer feels himself to be anything but an animal What is animal becomes human and what is human becomes animal." The Economic and Philosophic Manuscripts of 1844, edited by Dirk J. Struik (Int. Pub.) p. III

On the other hand, capitalist commodity production, in addition to all the classic forms of alienation mentioned above, also has its own peculiarly developed variety of alienation--rabid, vicious, predatory consumerism!

Again Marx:

"The increase in the quantity of objects is accompanied by an extension of the realm of the alien powers to which man is subjected, and every new product represents a new possibility of mutual swindling and mutual plundering. Man becomes ever poorer as man, his need for money becomes ever greater if he wants to overpower hostile being..." Ibid p. 147.

In its greed and unbridled drive for profits the bourgeoisie has created a world in which, unless they receive their profits there will be no rewarding human relations, no art, no education, no edification of the human personality. Unless the capitalist gets his off the top the individual is destined to live a grim, insecure, emasculated existence, rife with heartbreak, misery and destitution.

Yet, in its unceasing increase "in the quantity of objects," capitalism has at least generated a contradiction that we may take advantage of. In its unbridled drive for markets and profits capitalist production has far outstripped the simple needs of an unalienated selfless people. It has created in the consciousness of the masses a vast array of

artificial wants and needs--consumerism! To be greedy, avaricious, selfish, wasteful--people programmed like restless animals, to translate all nervous energy into insatiable appetite. To consume--consume--consume. A people who would devour the earth, and failing that, turn to devour each other. The contradiction is this: in a land of plenty--this is a false consciousness, a "grafted mentality" as it is said.

Socialist revolution is diametrically opposed to this type of gross, animalist materialism (not to be confused with philosophic materialism); and embraces a humanism so moral that it borders on the religious. Nor can this tendency in world socialism be attributed to either a quirk of prudence on the part of revolutionary leaders, nor to the claimed inability of socialist economies to produce. Cuba, Eastern Europe, Vietnam, and certainly the Peoples Republic of China--all recognize that truly human human relations is what the revolution is about in the first place. The aim is not only to take over capitalist political and economic power, but to destroy in its entirety the whole vicious anti-people, money oriented culture. Socialist revolution recognizes the priority economic relations has on all other human relations, hence great pains are taken to establish that every individual in socialist society is secure in material necessities. Then it goes further and frees human relations of the soul-warping influences of the profit motive by ordering a social super-structure/culture in which love, consideration of others may flourish; where one's human dignity is respected regardless of one's lack of material resources.

Similarly, we in the Black Liberation Movement must begin to take cognizance of this aspect of the struggle. To do so is not premature, but is of the most pressing historical imperatives: "...we are faced with two choices: to continue as we have done for forty years fanning our pamphlets against the hurricane, or starting to build a new revolutionary culture that we will be pure to turn on the old culture." George Jackson, Blood In My Eye.

And those last half dozen words are highly important: a revolutionary culture that we will be able to turn on the old culture. Hitherto Black Cultural Nationalist, perceiving the need of a new culture, have for the most part only succeeded in transplanting and transforming bourgeois values into a black mode. The wearing of the latest African fashions, Afro cosmetics, and artifacts, lavish African style weddings and so forth, did little more than take the same malignant consumerism and paint it in black face. The system quickly absorbed Black cultural nationalism and found a niche for it within the mainstream of predatory bourgeois economics. Black Cultural Nationalism, rather than a counter-culture, became another sub-culture where all the viciousness of the profit motive held full sway.

What is needed is a revolutionary Black Cultural movement which reverses the values rather than paints over them. Such a revolutionary culture must necessarily be rooted in voluntary poverty and collectivism.

VOLUNTARY POVERTY

The system depends upon a rapid turnover in commodities and consumer goods. They build automobiles, and like items designed to come apart within a specific number of years, it bombards the public psyche with commercials, and parades "middle class affluence" all to promote and develop artificial needs in the people. It changes style rapidly in everything from cars and clothes, to furniture and appliances; inducing people to buy long long before the goods they own have lost their utility. It promotes a "keep-up-with-the-Jones", "rat-race" mentality, with the reward of status for those who keep up and the punishment of ridicule and lost of fact for those who fall behind. One of our first jobs then, is to induce the tens of millions of black partisans to fall behind--and glory in it!

The cynic reads what we propose here and doubtless will say its against "human nature." When what he will most nearly mean is that it is against animal nature; and what he will actually be doing is considering us as niggers rather than black men/women. For what we propose here is a human nature at its highest. Certainly there will be suffering, certainly there will be confusion, chaos, even death; for after all this is war. A just, uncompromising, nation-building peoples war. Voluntary poverty! It is our task to create an atmosphere where a person need not have a dime in order to participate in society as a human being. An atmosphere where a person need not feel ashamed of his/her lack, nor feel she/he is offending his/her peers by not keeping up with the Jones'; a society where there is no status associated with gaudy dress and shiny gadgets. Such psychology should be reversed and the more stitches on one's clothes should be held in the highest esteem.

People must be liberated from their lust for material goods. This is not to say, however, that a Person should seek to live bare austere lives, but rather, with all the knowledge and skill at the finger tips of modern society, and all the technology and gadgets already in existence, it would appear that an individual can make with her/his own hands, from pieces of scraps if necessary, just about any luxury item one desires. Nor should any material thing be so valued that a person cannot simply give it away--without a qualm. For there must be a sharing on a vast scale, a sharing, not only of material things, but of knowledge, skills, and time itself. Christmas, For example, if it must be celebrated it should be done in such a way so as not to promote the aggrandizement of the exploiter. Voluntary poverty immediately relieves tremendous pressure off the ghettos, where the young bloods, seeking to compensate their lack with a crow-bar and a Pistol, incur a ceaseless war with the police and upon their neighbors. We must create a new spiritual world in the Black colonies in which people may have fulfilling and rewarding relationships, and develop their human potentials without money being the overriding factor.

THE BLACK COMMUNE

What is called for in this instance is a National Black Cadre along Marxist-Leninist lines, i.e., professional revolutionaries whose whole occupation is organizing people and articulating the contradictions of class society. Dedicated black men and black women who perceive the essential humanity of every victim; every wino, every prostitute, every so-called criminal, and seek to involve every black soul. Men and women who with skill, confidence, and unswerving dedication will "cast down their nets where they are, for the harvest is plenteous, but the laborers indeed are few."

Voluntary poverty is inseparable from commune building. A vast number of black people are locked into poverty in any regard. And people individualized and locked into poverty trying to eke out a living in an alienated world, often find him/herself sinking deeper and deeper into a lonely embittering existential existence. Voluntary poverty, however, as a way of life embraced and shared by a group of people, has proven itself a fulfilling life. Here the wants and needs of the individual are not sought in a private desperation but is the shared concern of all, and the force which exudes from such a collective polarization is of such a nature as to solve the individual needs of all.

The communes should be set up on these three principles:

- I. economic self-reliance/survival programs;
- II. to humanize relations among the people; and
- III. as a political base.

In the Marxist-Leninist tradition commune building in this manner would be considered "base-building among the people." But in our application it is "Nation Building," for it is from the Black Communes that we may anticipate New Africa to spring. The Black cadre, in an intense door to door campaign throughout the scattered colonies, organize groups of families, groups of cooperatives, farms, repair shops become a premium; auto repair, appliance repair, small motor

repair, etc., tailor shops, carpenter shops, and the whole range of services required for the well being of the people, set up by the people themselves, and not by the cadre or some agency.

It is not in our best interest to set up profit making enterprises. We should avoid at all cost the money-dog priorities and keep "politics in command." The investment of commune funds into capitalist enterprise (stocks and bonds) should be wholly forbidden. Our communalism and survival programs are not the end we seek, but the means to an end. The setting up of petty commodity production should not be forbidden. Commune petty commodity production while distributed either at cost, free, or at minimal charge (though donations above price is fair), may not show a profit in the capitalist sense. But we can expect the surplus value created to far exceed that in a capitalist enterprise. That is because labor will be provided by volunteers, or wages paid at subsistence level only. In other words, the surplus value created in this manner, which in capitalist enterprise would be translated into profit for the owners, instead we again place at the disposal of the people. Periodic checks and audits should be made to be certain that no person is in position to take advantage of the largeness of the commune or has slipped back into his/her degenerate bourgeois consciousness and is raking off the best of everything for him/herself and clique of favorites. While we cannot expect to carve out complete, or even partial economic independence with these measures, we can become sufficiently self-reliant to have a perceptible undermining effect upon the system of "free enterprise." friends, and/or groups of neighbors into innumerable communes and tied together in a federation of communes--all under the banner of *illegible.*

Establishing human-human relations

Aside from its economic function, the New African Commune should serve as a base by which a whole counter-social-superstructure is erected. In this area we can achieve not only self-reliance but a large measure of independence as well. It should be the business of the commune wherever possible to set up schools where real learning takes place; to promote street theaters, and peoples art; to publish newsletters and otherwise keep the commune informed and up to date about current issues and events concerning New Africa, Legal services and medical services should be made available to its members. The communes tied together in a federation of neighborhood communes with a centralized office to coordinate barter between communes, and to implement other services of a joint nature should be undertaken.

Government "poverty money" should not be accepted except on an individual level. For that matter each commune should have its expert in the field of welfare, unemployment, aid for dependent children, and so forth, and see that each person so qualified receives full benefits. Government grants to fund our collective programs, economic or social, has a corrupting effect, and "poverty pimping" should be avoided.

Political Base

Finally, of course, the New African Communes should serve as a political base where the issues and candidates are known, discussed, endorsed, criticized, and/or exposed. The cadre should organize political study classes in each commune if possible. On the local level, especially the political clout of the communes, will be a power to reckon with. And of course the communes are to serve as a launching pad for strikes, demonstrations, boycotts, and other left activism. It is by such strategy we involve the whole people in the revolutionary process. By initiating a counter-culture revolution centered around the communes of New Africa we create a nationalist consciousness in concrete terms. At the same time our armed struggle will have definite

aims, and wide support, and will not be conducted in an isolated, rootless way. We create the "new" man/woman, i.e., the New African. To paraphrase a remark once made by Karl Marx, we say--The Black Commune is the riddle of Black Liberation solved, and it knows itself to be that solution.

But a word of caution is due here. Various pacifist and activist sects have embraced the concept of voluntary poverty, and there is much to be learned from them. But for the most part they are small insignificant enclaves with moral persuasions and lacks revolutionary impact. Also many vanguard people unconsciously, and occasionally consciously, practice the basic principles of voluntary poverty to some extent, by automatically sharing their material wealth with other members of their organizations, or treating their organizations as communes. But in order for voluntary poverty to be a revolutionary factor it must be elevated to a mass level and be part of a whole liberation process and ongoing struggle; a process that includes mobilization of the masses, violence, political, underground, and above ground activities. The Black Nation in motion.

As a nation, once we win independence we can expect there will be a period wherein we must live austere and make great sacrifices. A period where we will be required to live frugally and labor hard. No matter how much reparations we may win, our greatest national resource will ever remain the labor power of our people. Hence we must expect to labor as an inspired people, and nor must we allow our frugality and austerity destroy or undermine our humanity. Our human relations and human dignity is enhanced by hard work as long as it is not alienated labor; as long as we eliminate class division and maintain people control of institutions of power.

VOLUNTARY POVERTY AND THE BLACK GUERRILLA

One last word. We as guerrillas are the warriors of the Black Nation. We are not ordinary black partisans. We are angry men/ women--totally displeased...a hundred percent dissatisfied. . We will not be bought off. There is not sufficient money in the world to buy us. We do not seek personal aggrandizement, though we expropriate a thousand banks. We are not satisfied with--\$600 dollar per month apartments. We have no desire for a wife, children, two cars, and a home in the suburbs. As long as black people are under the yoke of capitalist oppression, as long as black people lack control of the determining factors of their lives, we will not be satisfied with anything less than war. Comrade George speaks for us:

"So, my friends, the terms have been established. That is the only way I will accept any more time in this life. I don't want to live any other way. I want to hide, run, and look over my shoulder. The only woman that I could ever accept is one who would be willing to live out of a flight bag, sleep in a coal car, eat milkweed, bloodroot, wild greens, dandelions, a rabbit, a handful of rice. She would have to be willing to run and work all night and watch all day. She would bathe when we could, change clothes when we could. She would own nothing, not solely because she loved me but because she loved the principle, the revolution, the people." -Soledad Brother

In practical terms we treat our individual cells as a commune (we tend to do this as an unconscious principle anyway), where the comrades share their meager possessions, But the fact that we will be making innumerable expropriations against the system means hundreds of thousands of dollars will pass through our hands individually. This money is to support the struggle; and while we must use a portion of it to meet our own minimal daily needs, we must all consciously commit ourselves to the principles of voluntary poverty, lest some comrade forget his calling. And of course if we, armed to the teeth and strapped like "Mexican bandits," have embraced voluntary poverty and are supplying the above ground movement with

funds, it may possibly serve as a deterrent to some bourgeois minded individual who might otherwise risk diverting the peoples' funds to his own selfish purpose.

Above all we must eschew the principles of the money-dog. Neither we nor the revolution need money so bad that we compromise our revolutionary principles. There are some so-called black nationalist sects, who, in pursuing a bourgeois ethic have gotten themselves in such a financial bind that they allow themselves to be utilized to funnel heroin into the black community. Such a practice is in direct opposition to everything they are supposed to stand for, and it displays a contempt for black people. Nothing intensifies and multiplies the contradictions of the colony like dope and they know it. Some day we may have to bring such so-called nationalist to a reckoning. Meanwhile, let us avoid such pitfalls ourselves.

PART IV

PROLETARIAT REVOLUTION- - NO!

We reject proletariat revolution, but not because, as some have proffered that a proletariat movement with a majority white proletariat in the forefront would be inherently racist. This is no valid grounds for rejection. Granted that a white proletariat may indeed harbor significant remnants of racism. But even so should the proletariat movement be successful and a socialist America established, then the material basis for race discrimination would be substantially removed. People ownership of the means of production means there would be full employment, hence the competition between black and white workers for available jobs would be removed. There would be fairer and wider distribution of wealth, the quality of life for the black masses would be raised qualitatively overnight-- even with proletariat racism. But again we raise the question of proletariat racism only for the sake of argument and rebuttal. There is no certainty that proletariat racism, a remnant of degenerate bourgeois consciousness, could survive or be effective against the sharp and scientific dialectics of class struggle, particularly with black Marxist-Leninist awareness

being what it is today. No. We reject proletariat revolution on other grounds. And those other grounds are essentially this: that in the final analysis it is not revolutionary--but counter-revolutionary.

In the first place proletariat revolution seeks a complete defeat of the monopoly capitalist class, and to establish a socialist America. And it lacks a most fundamental ingredient--a radical proletariat.

"The proletariat of each country must, first of all settle matters with its own bourgeoisie." (The Communist Manifesto)

The black worker, which comprises only about 10% of the amerikkkan proletariat class, it is true, is as radical as it can be (without necessarily being class conscious), they would as soon "amerikkkan way of life." Would be to stir the blind patriotism and emotionalism of 200 million white amerikkkans--a few million of which are probably not even racist, but when you are "out to destroy amerikkka"--well, there you are. In other words seizing proletariat power in amerikkka is not the job of the black worker, and the Black Liberation Movement has no business trying.

Therefore, the orthodox Marxist-Leninist, i.e. the proletariatist lack a proletariat movement. If the proletariatist are going to become involved in an in-depth peoples' movement that strikes at basic bourgeois institutions, it most necessarily must be a movement other than the "proletariat", i.e. white workers' movement. The Black Liberation movement is the most likely replacement. Here they find a people's struggle that is daily, hourly, and nationally embroiled in the embittering contradictions that lie at the base of the system. That being the case, one would think they would concentrate their energies toward supporting--even joining the Black Liberation Movement; but no, instead we find a tremendous effort on the part of many proletariatists to convert the black revolution into the proletariat revolution. To that end, they must destroy/defeat Black Liberation as a colonial question, and keep it within the context framework of a native proletariat issue. To this end, we find the left engaged in a wide

ranging and heated debate on Black Liberation and "The National Question." Or more specifically--whether a Black Nation exists in the five southern states termed "the black-belt south," (Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Louisiana, and S. Carolina) And if not, is there scientific validity to any such claim in the conceivably near future. Every proletariat organization of note, black or white, has proffered position papers on the subject. Reams of paper and tons of rhetoric have been expended. Every paper uses as a starting point Stalin's definition of a nation, to wit:

"A nation is a historically constituted, stable community of people formed on the basis of common language; territory, economic life and psychological make-up manifested in a common culture." (Marxism and the National Question, J.V. Stalin)

From there they generally go on to comment on the assessment of the Communist International in which they analyzed the situation of the black people in the south during the era which extends from the Civil War until about 1930, and in the light of that definition conferred upon these people, the status of "an oppressed nation." Since that time the trend of black migration to the urban industrial centers has taken its toll, and black people, it is acknowledged by all concerned, no longer constitute a majority in this area (except in a few counties in Mississippi); also the development of capitalist method of farming, and the breakdown of the sharecropper feudal system, dispossessed the people from the land, and they lost what little "economic cohesion" that existed for them. Hence some take the position that a Black Nation no longer exist in this area, while others attempt to cling in some fashion or another to the old Comintern concept. All of this argument is terribly enlightening as these Marxist-Leninist are at their scientific best, and the information and historical data they develop in the course of their arguments is invaluable--and recommended reading. But for all its absorbing interest, we must at the same time point out that it is an altogether false issue.

It was kind of funky at the onset. When the Communist International in 1928 first gauged the black question in the light of Stalin's definition and proclaimed a Black Nation--they did not do it with the thought in mind to promote Black Revolution, but rather to promote proletariat revolution. 1928, it may be recalled, was a period when the Marcus Garvey Black Nationalist movement was in its Hey-day, with phenomenal success of mobilizing the black masses. The communist party sought to undercut this movement. They sought to unite the Black Liberation Movement of that era with the proletariat revolution by co-opting the claim of Black Nationhood. It was somewhat on the order of a campaign promise, that, should the BLM join them, they would guarantee beforehand that when the proletariat revolution succeeded blacks would have the right to this land, to set up an independent nation, or as a state within Socialist America with self-determination.

By this we do not intend to pass too severe a judgment on the Comintern. All in all it was appropriate for the time. For the black movement of that era was indeed in need of an ally as sophisticated, powerful and tenacious as the international proletariat. Indeed, whether the glorious Comrade Garvey knew it or not, black liberation, whether back-to-Africa, or whatever, could not be won without a struggle against imperialism. Nor do we intend to imply that the Comintern was working a deceit. They were not. Everything we've said here, they said it in front. What makes it funky was that up until that time the proletariat movement had virtually ignored the oppression of blacks, and had made no serious efforts to organize, integrate, or take up issues which affected blacks. When they finally did make a move it was after the Black people had begun organizing themselves--then it was cooptive in intent. But at least during this period there existed a virile and forward striding proletariat movement in americium: the era of "the Palmer raids", and Haymarket riots, the "IWW", Big Bill Haywood, and the "dynamite revolution," etc.

..But not so today. Today the intention is the same, to divert the BLM into proletariat revolution, but in order to do this they find it more

feasible to destroy all consideration of Black Liberation as a national question. Hence they take the same definition which the Comintern utilized to justify the Black Nation--they use to challenge the Black Nation. Look you, they say, no black majority currently exists in the south, the all important common economic activity is missing, you have no nation at this time.

The truth is, of course, that we've had no nation there at any time, but a prison, and a concentration-work camp. Technically speaking, even within the framework of Stalin's definition, although we had a majority black population, a common language, culture etc., we lack a economically interwoven activity. The sharecropper--feudal system tied us economically to the bourgeois planter class, or to the capitalist banks. The markets and retail purchases of that black majority was dominated overwhelmingly by white ownership and bourgeois system of commodity production. Historically, we have never exercised sovereignty there, or self-determination over our lives. The black-belt south has been about as much of a nation to black people as this penitentiary with its black majority population.

No, while the Comintern was a little bit funky with its offer, today's proletariat revolutionaries are indulging in rank hypocrisy because as long as capitalism is not kicked soundly in the ass, it makes little difference whether our claims to this land or any land can be scientifically "demonstrated." And if the proletariat revolution were successful--again it would make little difference whether we had any scientifically valid claim; we could "re-locate" or otherwise correct any deficiency that would legitimize our "morally" justified claim for self determination. In other words, at the least the Communist International's offer should still hold.

And so the question is why? Why are the orthodox Marxist so intent upon challenging Black Nationalism that they risk compromising the integrity of the Marxian science? Is it because Black Nationalism is "narrow" and racist? We doubt it. Black so-called racism does not have the underpinnings of vested interest and can be changed to perceive

the class enemy relatively easy. (Relative to white consciousness changing.)

Perhaps it is because Black Nationalism is "bourgeois," i.e. the thrust of the black bourgeois to create a national market; "to provide a market for black owned manufacture and services." According to the Philadelphia Worker's Organizing Committee (PWOC), a multi-national Marxist Leninist group such as this is indeed the intent behind the rise of Black Nationalism. Yet by their own statistics, 89.50 of black people are working class, and only 10.5 are members of the black bourgeois, and petty bourgeoisie class. And of this, 10.5 bourgeois/petty bourgeois 9.91 are tied to the system in the capacity of "public administrators, Salaried managers (manufacture, retail, etc.); professional (lawyers, doctors, college professors, etc.), sales managers, insurance brokers, realtors, etc., foremen, police, firemen and security guards. And that leaves 1.21 who could benefit from a monopoly of black markets. And that 1.21 divides evenly into .6% "self employed managers (manufacturers, contractors, retailers) and . 61 farmers. So how could it be claimed that this minuscule black bourgeois faction provides the base for a black nationalist political movement.: Certainly those tied to the system can be expected to remain loyal to the system in most instances; and the thirst for a monopoly of the black market by the minuscule self-employed managers, contractors and retailers does not spill over into the area of armed struggle. And upon mentioning armed struggle we hit upon the gist of the problem.

We submit at this point, that the current Marxist proletariat seek to channel black liberation into proletariat revolution because black liberation posed as a colonial question inherently entails armed struggle.

"Colonialism is not a thinking machine nor a body endowed with reasoning faculties. It is violence in its natural state, and it will only yield when confronted with greater violence" (Franz Fanon)

And this is the root of the problem. There is no place in the vision of amerikkkan proletariat revolution for is They perceive confrontation and struggle alright. They perceive parliamentary contest, general strikes, demonstrations, hopefully mass social disorder, at worse a scattered handful of jail terms, maybe riots, and some head busting; a few deaths--but armed struggle? "...the streets being cordoned off. Armored pig carriers everywhere, the smell of cordite..." Forget it. Let there be no mistake about it, no amount of provocateur, no amount of criticizing or exhortation will "trick" them into a violent posture. No, we hate to admit it about fellow Marxist-Leninists. but we are afraid it is much as the indomitable Comrade Malcolm X said when speaking of Comrade King and the brothers in the early 1960's, he said (rather loudly):

"IF THESE SO CALLED REVOLUTIONARIES KNEW WHAT REVOLUTION WAS REALLY ABOUT, THEY WOULD SHUT UP AND GET ON OUT OF THE WAY!"

Similarly, it may be stated about the proletariatist. They seek to channel Black Revolution into a piece-meal reformist wheel-spinning racial struggle. Let us take for example again the words of the PWOC. They contend that for many of this "boundless attachment" to the idea of the Black Nation is a moral aspiration, and that black people do not want revolution, but freedom where they are at.

"Is it not clear that the Black People want not an artificial union but an end to discrimination and the achievement of full democracy...the right to self determination is at best abstract to them and is more often perceived as a demand injurious to their interests"

Pamphlet of the 7,0 F. Dogmatism on the National Question

In other words, they see as we see, that Black Revolution and establishing a Black Nation involves preparing 25 million black people to perceive their interest anew, and migrate--flock to a particular area. In other words, an operation upon Black consciousness. To many this may indeed seem to be the truly far fetched aspect of the whole

proposition. But consider this: when the PWOC begins to speak of proletarian revolution they end up saying we must operate on white consciousness (did Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. say different).

"To merge the struggle of Black people with the American workers movement is the strategic aim the path to Black Liberation and Socialist Revolution--the key to ending the criminal rule of the US monopoly class"

And:

"The most powerful obstacle is the promotion of the ideology of white supremacy, which not only serves to divide the class and split the white workers away from the Black freedom struggle, but actually enables the bourgeoisie to recruit sections of the white workers into its camp. The powerful grip of white chauvinist ideology over the masses of white workers turns them against the struggle for democratic rights on the part of the Black people. This ideology is the single most divisive weapon in the hands of the class enemy, splitting the working class, disorienting it politically and preventing it from gauging its true friends and its real enemies."

And further:

"...we must direct our main blow against white chauvinism."

"The class struggle, including the fight against discrimination in all its forms, is the school in which the white workers will learn that their real interests lie with the Black workers. And it is the active struggle of the white workers against racism that will generate confidence in the power of the united proletariat on the part of the Black workers."

And so we ask, now who is the utopian? In the first place, they base their whole strategy on a fiction. There is no "American workers movement." A handful of left organizations with thinly spread national cadres in no way constitutes a movement of amerikkkan workers.

In essence what they're saying is that the primary job of proletarian revolution is--altering the consciousness of the white working class. We submit that changing the consciousness of black people into accepting a Black Nation and committing themselves to struggle for it shall be qualitatively easier than attempting to change the consciousness of white workers. The material conditions support and promote white racism. The competition for jobs promotes racial antagonism. The differences in social/cultural conditions which result from being denied vital areas of development: lumpen sub-culture etc., serve further to broaden differences and reinforce century long notions of white supremacy. Finally, white supremacy is actively promoted by the ruling class. This means millions of dollars are spent, and the power of established institutions are brought into play to maintain the white worker in a national conspiracy of racism.

To significantly change white consciousness, whether it be toward a more amenable position on the race question, or to out an out radicalism, it would be necessary first to remove the material underpinnings. It is theorized that as the international contradictions sharpen, that is to say the growing Socialist competition for world markets, the recovery of other capitalist countries from the devastation wrought by World War II, Britain, France and especially Germany and Japan, competing on the world markets further proscribe and limit the amerikkkan imperialist access to markets and raw materials; the thrust of the Third World for a greater share in its own resources (The Organization of Petroleum Producing Countries, for example), the nationalization of industry by other Third World countries, and of course the wars of liberation being won by the Third World (Vietnam, Angola, Mozambique), and so forth all add up to perceptible constriction of the amerikkkan empire, Amerikkkan capitalism is no longer the virile expanding giant; it can no longer grant concessions without it affecting their profit index; a few more blows and it is believed (hoped) by the theorists, that the amerikkkan ruling class will no longer be able to protect the white working class from bearing the contradictions of exploitation. This, it is hoped, will radicalize them, will compel them to seek a socialist solution. Others

prophecy that "Soviet Social Imperialism" in its expansion must inevitably clash with amerikkkan capitalist imperialism, and in the ensuing world war usher in the proletariat revolution.

All this sort of thing is like waiting on an act of providence (especially the latest bit about "Soviet Social Imperialism"). While it is true that the amerikkkan empire is constricting rather than expanding, there is no indication that it will bear well for race relations. But rather to the contrary. The imperialist are more likely to be successful in aiming white workkker disaffection into racist channels. That, in fact, is precisely the problem. If capitalism were yet expanding, could yet grant concessions without it affecting adversely their profit margins, then the race issue in amerikkka would be considerably more amenable. As it stands, white worker consciousness is immutable within the context of the system.

No, the struggle against racism is necessary to expose contradictions, and raise consciousness and educate blacks to the system, but as a primary strategy for liberation, however, it is a bankrupt line. In one sense it is the other side of "Narrow Nationalism" in that it makes race issues the main focus of struggle. It purports to hit at the root of the system, but actually engages the movement in endless piece-meal confrontations that, no sooner is resolved in one area re-appears in another. Today a busing issue in Boston, tomorrow the death penalty in Georgia, democratic rights in South Carolina, migrant farm workers in Florida, Nazis in California, lynching in Mississippi, police brutality in Detroit, housing in Chicago, Kluxers in Cairo, Illinois, discrimination in the building trades, failure of affirmative action in industry, and etc., ad infinitum. All this proves nothing if not that, in respect to race at least, white consciousness is immutable within the context of capitalism. If proletariat revolution is dependent upon altering this, then we can look to have bourgeoisdom with us until the year 2,000,000 AD.

On the other hand, black consciousness is changing all the time. There is no consciousness in amerikkka which is growing and

developing on a par with black consciousness. While today the black masses may appear to be caught up in the clutches of degenerate bourgeoisie consciousness, of rabid consumerism, opportunism, individualism, "getting over on the next man," and such other human alienation as generated by the galloping predatory capitalist economy, this is essentially a false consciousness without substantial material support and against our "real interest." It is but another stage in the Black Nation's unending quest for its lost human identity. It is an integral part of the task of Black Socialist Revolution to recover this identity in the course of struggle. True the state of Black consciousness is a major issue to be contended with, but we hold that affecting black consciousness favorably holds infinitely greater promise than affecting white racism.

In conclusion, it should be pointed out that our rejection of proletariat revolution should not be construed in an absolute sense. Primarily we resist the attempts of certain Marxist to divert our struggle into non-productive channels. The proletariat methods of strike, demonstrations, boycotts, parliamentary maneuvers and so forth, shall certainly be an integral part of our peoples' struggle. But if Black Revolution is to be successful, we maintain our perspective as an oppressed nation, a colonized people, and with the blood and sacrifice that entails.

Nor do we mean to entirely forsake proletariat revolution, such as it is, for we recognize a certain *"...dialectical strengthening that occurs between the movement of liberation of the colonized peoples and the emancipatory struggles of the exploited working class of the imperialist countries."* (Fanon) Particularly in that ours is a situation where both the *"colonized peoples"* and the *"exploited working class of the imperialist country"* occupy the same territory, the same industrial complexes, and the same economy. Certainly there is no way we can jerk the pillar of the Black Colony out of the very ribs of the beast without having a profound effect on the proletarian struggle. We anticipate that anything we can do to help further proletariat revolution, any way we can form united fronts, concerted action, or

whatever, we will lock arms in unity. But there is no point in our attempting to submerge Black Liberation in proletariat struggle--or masquerading as proletariat revolution. Such attempts are false, confusing and in the final analysis leads. head-long down a blind alley.

SUGGESTED READINGS

1. Foundations of Leninism by Joseph Stalin
2. Lenin on the National Question (Int'l Pub.)
3. Studies in a Dying Colonialism by Franz Fanon
4. Against Dogmatism on the Nation Question by P.W.O.C.

QUESTIONS

1. What are some of the questions you have on this pamphlet? Discuss them with your cell.
2. What is the aim of Black Revolution?
3. What is the aim of Proletariat Revolution?
4. What are some of the alternatives for Black Revolution in so far as a land base is concerned?
5. Can you think of any new possible alternatives?
6. Discuss with your cell what you think is the most important aspect of the Nation Building strategy outlined here.
7. Can you think of anything new that may be contributed to strategy?
8. Do you think you are ready to embrace "voluntary poverty," and live "communal" with your comrades?
9. Why do we reject proletariat revolution? Explain.
10. Is this rejection of proletariat revolution total? Explain.

THE REVOLUTIONARY BLACK LUMPEN PROLETARIAT

"So the pimps, the hooligans, the unemployed and the petty criminals, urged on from behind, throw themselves into the struggle for liberation like stout working men....The prostitutes too, and the maids who are paid two Pounds a month, all the hopeless dregs of humanity, all who turn in circle between suicide and madness, will recover their balance, once more go forward, and march proudly in the great procession of the awakened nation." The Wretched of the Earth, Franz Fanon

The question has been debated throughout the preceding decade -- what is the place of the black lumpen proletariat in the Black Liberation Movement? Is it in the vanguard? Is it reactionary? or are they merely dispossessed apolitical dregs who will be carried along in the black worker's struggle? Our answer to that question is --- it depends. It depends on whether we're going to intellectualize the Black Liberation Movement, whether we are going to win our freedom through democratic Parliamentary processes, or whether it will require armed struggle, a national war of liberation. Posed in this light the answer should appear obvious, but because of the considerable debate and discussion that yet rages on the left, we should perhaps deal with the question more in depth.

First of all it should be noted that the term "lumpenproletariat" is a European term which came into usage during the early days of capitalism when the peasants were driven from the land and had begun to congregate in the cities in large numbers, and without a means of livelihood. "Lumpen" literally means raggedy; the "raggedy proletariat," and refers to that class of dispossessed individuals who live in urban areas, and would normally be among the working class (the proletariat) except that they are chronically without jobs, or are only marginally employed. As a result this class generally seeks to augment its miserly incomes with all sorts of illegal acts. Hence lumpen proletariat usually refers to petty criminals, pick-pockets, robbers, prostitutes, pimps, and so forth. In its broader context it

refers to anyone who is a dispossessed urban dweller without job skills, or means of livelihood.

Being lumpen also carries with it a certain mentality generated by such an unstable economic plight. A mentality characterized by a certain low level of cultural/educational development; and yet another sense, the lumpen mentality is characterized by *"living by one's wits,"* by selfishness and opportunism, holding nothing sacred, owing allegiance to no one, and one's only interest is to make a buck -- any way one can. In the Eighteenth Brumaire of Louis Bonaparte, Marx refers to Louis Bonaparte, for example, in his adventurous, opportunistic thrust for power as a "lumpen proletariat."

But it is in the Communist Manifesto itself, however, that Marx expresses the line on the lumpen proletariat which succeeding generations of the left were to adopt as a matter of course, to wit:

"The 'dangerous class' the social scum, that passively rotting mass thrown off by the lowest layers of old society, may, here and there, be swept into the movement by a proletarian revolution, its conditions of life, however, prepare it far more for the part of a bribed tool of reactionary intrigue"

The lumpen proletariat has historically been disturbed and dismissed by the left. It was not until the 1960s that a phenomena occurred which caused the left to reconsider the question of the lumpen class. That phenomena was the fight against colonialism/racism.

It appears that in the struggle against imperialism, that when the imperialist country also has a significant "settler" population within a colonized country, that it is sufficient catalyst to transform the lumpen element from a *"bribed tool"* of reaction, to a revolutionary vanguard element. Franz Fanon was the first to make this transformation.

"It is within this mass of humanity, this people of the shanty towns, at the core of the lumpen proletariat, that the rebellion will find its urban spearhead. For the lumpenproletariat, that horde of starving men, uprooted from their tribe and their clan, constitutes one of the most radically revolutionary forces of a colonized people."

Fanon did not make any special note that this was a phenomena peculiar only to a colonized, racially divided people. It does appear true, however, that when a lumpen class develops among a relatively homogeneous people, whether it be European, Asian, South American, or whatever, that the causes of oppression cannot be as clearly seen. The dispossessed class developing in an uncultured, unlettered environment, directs its criminal activity at members of its own race, the tendency is to internalize, and idealize the causes of oppression. That is they seek the cause of one's status as due to one's personal flaws and shortcomings; one is innately evil, predatory, lazy, selfish, lustful, etc. or one is simply unfortunate (will of the gods) etc.,. Whatever the reason the fact remains that when the revolution takes place among a relatively homogeneous people that the lumpen element is yet to be singled out as a class for any special revolutionary accolades, particularly so exalted a position as "vanguard." What gave added weight to Fanon observation, and truly ignited debates was the role assumed by the black lumpen in the U.S.A. in the 1960s. The black workers struggled for a century after emancipation, under the leadership of first one black bourgeois liberal faction then another. Fredrick Douglas, Booker T. Washington, the NAACP, Dr. Martin Luther King, etc., and also under the guidance of left organizations, the communist party, etc. But it was not until violence broke out in the mid- sixties and the Black Panther Party, using as its social base the young angry, jobless, urban blacks, and with such a base assumed vanguard position in the Black Liberation Movement. The black lumpen proletariat and black lumpen proletariat leaders were propelled to the center stage of history, and debate raged on the left.

Violence having subsided into the Black Liberation Movement for the time being, the old classic definition of the lumpen element as a "tool of reaction" attempts to reassert, and re-argue itself. But while such arguments tend to overlook the colonized/race factor, they cannot deny the history of the preceding decade; they cannot deny the new consciousness, the new status, the existence of a new element -- a revolutionary black lumpen proletariat. Our own position, therefore is this: if black liberation is to be won at the ballot box, if black liberation is to be won through demonstrations, strikes, boycotts, and other non-violent means, then indeed count the black lumpen proletariat -- out. For the black lumpen proletariat is violence personified.

The black lumpen proletariat more than any other class in amerikkka, including the black worker, has lived at ground zero, at the soul searing center of the most vicious "mainstream," persecuted by the state bureaucracy the black lumpen lives not only by his wits, but at wits end, hand to mouth, always one step away from disaster, one step from the gutter, or one step from prison. Everything from family disorganization, to self alienation, and war upon his neighbor (crime), to pitched battles with the police; the hand of every man is turned against him. And the humanity of the lumpen suffers accordingly. The soul of the lumpen is a now active, now latent, but always smoldering bed of lava ready to erupt into violence at the slightest provocation.

Our contention is that as a class, it is only the black lumpen proletariat that possesses the deep desperate hatred---equal to the hatefulness and determination of the imperialist overlords to hang on.

Our contention is that the black workers lack the depth of determination to break the hold of capital on the black nation. The black worker has historically played it safe. The black worker has small experience with police relative to the lumpen, and are frightened of them and all it entails. The black worker has not lived his life on the "razor's edge," knows almost nothing of the tactic of "hit-n-run," has small experience in the elemental arts of kill or be killed; is not prepared to risk prison, death, torture, and all the things which are an

integral, if not daily part of the life of the lumpen; and of which shall be demanded of all black people before liberation shall ever be won.

The black lumpen proletariat is the warring class of the black colony --- the cutting edge of the Black Nation.

We readily admit that in the final analysis the success of the revolution depends upon the worker. The lumpen knows nothing of the means of production. It is only the worker who ultimately can seize control of the factories, and bring capitalist production to a grinding halt. It is only the worker who can, by his/her support or lack of support, throw any system into disarray. The lumpen does not possess the "habits of organization and management", the knowledge and technical skill which enables a nation's economy to function, and unless the worker assumes this responsibility then no revolution can be a success. Hence the workers position as the vanguard element is assured whether in socialist revolution or black revolution. But by temperament, inclination, and experience in unstable, fast-paced, heated action, no class is better fitted for its historically necessary role of urban guerrilla than the black lumpen proletariat.

Karl Marx, in his scientific analysis, discerned that "violence is the midwife of an old society pregnant with a new one." Our contention is that America, the decadent old racist whore, is pregnant with a new nation, a Black nation, and the lumpen proletariat is who will produce the kicks and inflict the pain that will bring about the birth of that nation.

But in order to fulfill our historical role we must recognize that we as a class harbor deranged negative characteristics which may too easily place us in the classical category of being tools of reaction. Again Fanon recognized this trait in the lumpen proletariat:

"...but if the rebellion's leaders think it will be able to develop without taking the masses into consideration, the lumpenproletariat will throw itself into the battle and will take part in the conflict of the oppressor.

And the oppressor, who never loses a chance of setting the niggers against each other, will be extremely skillful in using that ignorance and incomprehension which are the weaknesses of the lumpenproletariat. If this available reserve of human effort is not immediately organized by the forces of rebellion, it will find itself fighting as hired soldier: side by side with colonial troops. In Algeria, it is the lumpenproletariat which furnished the harkis and the massalists: In Angola it supplied the road openers who nowadays precede the Portuguese armed columns; in the Congo, we find once more the lumpenproletariat in regional manifestations in Kasai and Katanga, while at Leopoldville the Congo's enemies made use of it to organize 'spontaneous' mass meetings against Lumumba."

The message is clear then if we are to benefit from the experiences of our brothers and sisters in Africa in this regard, then it is a vital part of our historical mission to take the black lumpen proletariat in hand, and encourage the development of its revolutionary potential ---less it be used against us. It is no accident that "the niggers are against each other". It is no accident that the ghetto, economically depressed --- is flooded with narcotics, keeping the whole community off balance and in turmoil. Let there be no mistake, black on black crime is the conscious design of the evil men who run this system. And for the black worker to cry for more and more police in the black neighborhoods, and for great penalties for "criminals" is only furtherance of the evil scheme.

But there are those among the lumpen proletariat who have come to see clearly the nature of black oppression, have come to discern the evil purpose we are serving, and have come to recognize our historical duty to our people, "and have resolved to help break de chains." We perceive that for the black lumpen proletariat especially, black liberation is our personal salvation, and gives meaning to our otherwise meaningless lives. Through adhering to the high selfless principles of revolution, the lumpen re-joins the human race.

"The men of our group have developed as a result of living under a ruthless system set of mannerisms that numb the soul, we have been made the floor mat of the world, but the world is yet to see what can be done by men of our nature. By men who have walked the path of regression, abortion, and yet come out whole. There will be a special page in the book of life for men who crawl back from the grave, this page will tell of utter defeat, of ruin and passivity in one breath, and in the next overwhelming victory and fulfillment." (Prison Letters, George Jackson)

We recognize that in order for black revolution to be successful that it requires a violence that is something other than sporadic and spontaneous, that it requires a sophisticated, organized, scientific, protracted guerrilla warfare. And again, because it does require such discipline, organization, and consistency, there are those who claim the black lumpenproletariat cannot pull it off; that the black lumpen proletariat cannot produce men in significant numbers who are capable of clear headed, sober, and consistent struggle. Only history will ultimately give us the answer. Meanwhile there are those of us who are willing to struggle without negative lumpen traits of petty individualism, lack of discipline, and training. There are those of us who are determined to take the hurt, pain, and violence that lies smoldering in our bosoms -- and sophisticate it with patience and virtue, discipline it with knowledge and science, magnify it with collective action, and in so doing, elevate it to a level equal to the historical task before us.

Hence again we conclude with the words of that great spokesman for the Revolutionary Black Lumpen Proletariat, Comrade George Jackson, a true dragon:

"This monster,
The Monster they've engendered in me
Will return to torment its maker,
From the grave,
From the pit, the profoundest pit

---Hurl me:
Into the next existence.
The descent into hell wont turn me.
I'll crawl back and dog his trail forever!
They can't stop our revenge.
Never---never!
For we are part of a righteous people,
Who anger slowly,
But rage - undamned,
We'll her at his door in such a number,
That the rumbling of our feet will make the earth tremble.
We're going to charge him for this,
For three hundred years without gratification,
going to charge him,
Reparations in blood.
We're going to charge,
Like a mad and wounded rogue male elephant,
Trunk raised,
Ears flared,
Bullhorns blaring!!!
We'll do our dance in his chest.
This is one bunch of nig that's positively displeased,
A hundred percent dissatisfied.
He'll never anything in our eyes
---But daggers to pierce his cruel heart.
We'll never forgive,
We'll never forget,
And if we're guilty of anything at all,
It's for not leaning on him---hard enough.
---War without terms!"

FOOTNOTES

1. The Wretched of the Earth, By Franz Fanon
2. The Communist Manifesto, By Marx & Engels
3. Prison Letters of George Jackson

CRITICISM AND SELF CRITICISM

Criticism in the positive usage is the examination, analysis, and evaluation of the comparative worth of one's acts, practices, policies and/or ideas by others. Self-criticism, is of course, this same principle applied to one's self, but it also refers to the organizational practice of critically examining and re-examining its own policies and/or policies and practices of its members. Criticism and self-criticism are wholly necessary to human progress. Marxism-Leninism elevates positive criticism and self-criticism to a conscious principle and incorporates it into the day to day operational procedure of the organization.

Criticism in its' positive usage corrects/adjusts mistakes of practice and of thought, and resolves differences among individuals and makes for a smooth running, well functioning organization. Mao Tse-Tung, in his discourse on criticism put forth the slogan, "Unity-Criticism-Unity" to show how individuals come together and unite under one principle or set of principles, but in the actual working out of these principles differences arise for various reasons which militate against The accomplishment of declared ends, and against cohesion of the organization. When these differences arise there must be criticism in which those with differences interpenetrate, modify one another, and form a new and more perfect unity on the basis of having worked out contradictions that were inherent in the old unity.

CAUSES OF ERROR

The differences which arise that disrupt unity can generally be found to have their basis in these three categories of human error:

1) Opportunism: opportunism is defined as that tendency for an individual or individuals to make a decision or commit an act that is favorable to his/her own self aggrandizement and at the expenses of the collective or the movement as a whole. Opportunism stems from selfishness and petty individualism. It is strictly against the principles

of Marxism-Leninism. When opportunism arises, either in an individual or in an organization it is to be severely criticized and if necessary the individual or individuals expelled from the organization or ostracized from the movement.

II. Subjectivism: the second type of error that disrupts unity and impairs revolutionary progress may be found in the general category called "subjectivism". Subjectivism can be distinguished from opportunism often only by the merest of hairlines. It generally has to do with personality flaws. One makes a decision or commits an act that is based on one's personal feelings, desires, resentments, jealousies, prejudices, etc.. Such subjectivism may possibly stem from any number of sources: childhood trauma, subliminal conditioning, religious superstition, etc.. When such subjectivism pops up to impede the functioning of the individual or the progress of the organization it is imperative that it be dealt with. The consciousness of many must necessarily be stripped of the old pernicious ideas and values imbued by bourgeois culture. Though again Mao Tse-Tung cautions that those traits and personal idiosyncrasies which are not particularly harmful to the individual or the cause, but are largely a matter of style, should not needlessly be criticized.

III. Errors from objective causes: thirdly there is the type of error that stems from objective causes. For example one may have lacked certain objective information, or may have placed too heavy an emphasis on certain elements of a situation rather than other more correct elements. And/or the environmental conditions themselves may have been such as to limit the formulating of a more correct idea or policy. This last type of error is the type that a cell or collective will inevitably find itself dealing with again and again. That is to say, most persons coming into a collective can be expected to more or less quickly grasp the rules against opportunism and subjectivism (though from time to time these problems too must necessarily be dealt with) and subscribed to a Marxist-Leninist outlook which in its concreteness means analyzing a situation with the objective facts of the situation upper-most in mind, But the objective factors of a

situation are continually undergoing change as the old elements and factors fade and diminish, and new elements appear. Hence policy and practice must be re-examined periodically, and/or new policy to into account the changes in the situation.

Professionalism is said to be distinguished by "no wasted motion", that is, by making the least mistakes. To be a Marxist-Leninist organization is to be a professional revolutionary organization. Which means errors and excessive baggage of ideological confusion is stripped away. In revolution, an organization of the type we are building, mistakes are counted in the number of lives lost, number of years served on a sentence, and set-backs for the cause of liberation. Hence for this reason anything, any act, policy, or course of action on the part of anyone that affects the functioning of the whole collective must be carefully scrutinized, examined and criticized.

II. NEGATIVE CRITICISM

But perhaps another way to get an indication of the value of positive criticism is to compare it with the bourgeois use of criticism or negative criticism.

At the base of the difference between the bourgeois use of criticism and the socialist (Marxist-Leninist) use, lies the false ideological emphasis on the individual rather than on the collective, As a result, for the bourgeois with their emphasis on individualism, criticism inevitably is negative, It is used to build, but rather to destroy. It ceases to be a tool by which to correct and adjust mistakes, or resolve differences and repair breaches in unity, but rather it becomes a weapon of assault of one personality upon another. It is divisive and destructive; it is fault-finding, nit-picking and slanderous; an attack upon the intrinsic worth of an individual, It becomes a tool of domination of one personality over another.

Such a use of criticism is typical of the sick and alienated minds which emanate from bourgeois culture, Thus we see the "strong"

personality exalted; the dictator who brooks no opposition, the patriarch that tolerates no criticism, the authoritarian who's dictum must be obeyed without question.

Though, of course in the final analysis it must be pointed out that such creatures of alienation are not due solely to aberrations in bourgeois philosophy, but are inherent to the imperatives of class society, and systems of alienated labor. Primitive societies which were communistic in that the means of production were held in common by the whole tribe or community, and exploitation of man by man did not exist, we generally find criticism instituted on the more or less democratic plane which Marxism-Leninism has adopted.

Franz Fanon, for example, points out that self-criticism is, in the final analysis, *"an African institution", "Self-criticism has been much talked about of late, but few people realize that it is an African institution. Whether in the djemaase of Northern Africa, or in the meetings of Western Africa, tradition demands that the quarrels which occur in a village should be settled in public. It is communal self-criticism, of course, and with a note of humor, because everybody is relaxed, and because in the last resort we all want the same things. But the more the intellectual imbibes the atmosphere of the people, the more completely he abandons the habits of calculation, of unwanted silence, of mental reservations, and shakes off the spirit of concealment. And it is true that already at that level we can say that the community triumphs, and that it spears its own light and its own reason"*

But for all that we see the bourgeois "negativity" toward criticism creeping again and again into the ranks of revolutionary organizations, One of the larger contradictions of Black lumpen struggle in the sixties, for example, was that in too many instances we lacked the science of positive criticism, In too many instances our leaders were exalted individuals with strong personalities that intimidated criticism, and resorted to "commandist" tactics. In a commandist mode of operation, instead of science we have domination by force and a swirling undercurrent of subjectivism, of jealousies, prejudices,

resentments, and, of course, opportunism, all of which leads to disruption in times of crisis, and high susceptibility to the divisive seeds sown by the enemy. The cost in lives and long jail terms is still being paid.

A revolutionary organization that does not utilize criticism and self-criticism is not Marxist-Leninist. Mao Tse-Tung advised, *"...constantly expand the Red Army and enhance its quality through struggle so that it can fulfill its mission in the coming general insurrection."*

Criticism is one of the types of struggle that enhances quality. The collective or cell that does not conduct criticism but allows mistaken practices and ideas to go unchecked builds up a subjective undercurrent that ultimately must destroy it in a whirl-wind of crisis. A revolutionary vanguard organization may be compared to a battering ram that pounds away at the fortress which is the system, If the substance of the battering ram is less hard than the substance of the system we may expect the battering ram to break and shatter. In working out the contradictions through critical examination and expulsion of negative traits, an organization like a rock without flaws becomes more and more solid, more and more able to withstand the shocks and blows inherent in revolutionary struggle. If the system, on the other hand is moribund with contradictions, we may expect therefore for it to break and shatter, at the impact of our revolutionary thrust. It is only through struggle with the latent contradictions of individuals and objective errors of the organization can a collective hope to enhance its quality and fulfill its revolutionary vanguard role *"... In the coming general insurrection."*

III. HOW TO CONDUCT A CRITICISM SESSION

In conducting a criticism session we find that these few broad rules apply.

i. CRITICISM NOT BEFORE THE COLLECTIVE. Mannerisms of a subjective nature which are minor, and inconsistent with organizational rules and principles may be dealt with privately by one comrade simply pointing out the error and inconsistency to the other, The criticism should be acknowledged by the recipient and resolved at this point.

ii. CRITICISM BEFORE THE COLLECTIVE

a. Opportunism is a major departure from revolutionary principle and must be brought before the collective.

b. Subjective errors that persist after a criticism is brought in private should be brought before the collective.

c. All objective propositions that have to do with the organizational policy and practice, or that affects the Black Liberation Movement as a whole should be brought before the collective.

iii. Each criticism should be dealt with on its own merits; that is, do not bring up a criticism of another individual in order to divert attention from ones' self. (Unless there is a direct connection between the two.) Only after the original criticism has been resolved should another criticism be broached.

iv. No attack upon personalities or unprincipled criticism,

a. No name calling.

b. No disparaging remarks about an individual (but only about the acts of an individual.)

c. Deal with the objective facts of a situation.

v. A criticism is not resolved until:

a. The person who is the object of the criticism acknowledges his/her error and states his/her intention to amend his/her ways, or

b. The person who brought the criticism acknowledges that the criticism was invalid, or

c. Some point in between is reached where that portion which is valid is acknowledged and that portion which is invalid is acknowledged as invalid.

QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION

1. Define positive criticism.

2. What are the three basic sources of human error?

3. What is the basis of bourgeois negativity towards criticism?

(a) philosophic basis?

(b) material basis?

4. What is one method of struggle that enhances the quality of an organization?

5. What are some of the ramifications of commandist tactics?

COMBAT LIBERALISM

THROUGHOUT THE PROCESS OF EDUCATING THE PEOPLE (OURSELVES) CERTAIN CONTRADICTIONS WILL ARISE WITHIN THE RANKS THAT MUST BE CRITICALLY ATTACKED AND RESOLVED IN ORDER TO INSURE UNITY. THEREFORE EVERY COMRRADE (POTENTIAL REVOLUTIONARY) SHOULD TAKE UP THIS WEAPON AND USE IT JUDICIOUSLY. ALL OF THESE CONTRADICTIONS CAN BE LISTED UNDER THE DING OF 'LIBERALISMS' HERE ARE SOME BASIC MANIFESTATIONS or LIBERALISMS:

1. TO LET THINGS SLIDE FOR THE SAKE OF PEACE OR FRIENDSHIP WHEN A PERSON HAS CLEARLY GONE WRONG, AND REFRAIN FROM PRINCIPLED ARGUMENT BECAUSE OF EMOTIONAL FEELINGS TOWARD A BROTHER. OR TO TOUCH ON A MATTER LIGHTLY INSTEAD OF GOING INTO IT THOROUGHLY, SO AS TO STAY ON GOOD TERMS, THE RESULT IS THAT BOTH THE INDIVIDUAL AND ORGANIZATION IS HURT.
2. TO INDULGE IN IRRESPONSIBLE CRITICISM IN PRIVATE INSTEAD OF ACTIVELY PUTTING FORWARD ONE'S SUGGESTION TO THE ORGANIZATION, TO SAY NOTHING TO A PERSON, BUT GOSSIP BEHIND THEIR BACK. OR TO SAY NOTHING AT A MEETING BUT TO GOSSIP AFTERWARDS. TO FOLLOW ONE'S OWN INCLINATION WITH NO REGARD FOR THE PARTIES' PRINCIPLES.
3. TO LET THINGS DRIFT WHEN THEY DO NOT AFFECT ONE PERSONALLY: TO SAY LITTLE WHEN YOU KNOW SOMETHING IS WRONG: TO BE WORLDLY WISE AND PLAY SAFE AND SEEK ONLY TO AVOID BLAME.
4. NOT TO OBEY ORDERS BUT TO GIVE PRIDE OF PLACE TO ONE'S OWN OPINIONS AND REJECT ORGANIZATIONAL DISCIPLINE.
5. TO INDULGE IN PERSONAL ATTACKS, PICK QUARRELS, VENT PERSONAL SPITE OR SEEK REVENGE INSTEAD OF ENTERING INTO AN

ARGUMENT AND STRUGGLING AGAINST INCORRECT VIEWS FOR THE SAKE OF UNITY OR PROGRESS, OR GETTING THE WORK DONE PROPERLY.

6. TO BE AMONG THE MASSES AND FAIL TO CONDUCT PROPAGANDA AND AGITATION AND SHOW NO CONCERN FOR THEIR WELL BEING.

7. TO WORK HALFHEARTEDLY WITHOUT A DEFINITE PLAN OR DIRECTION AND MUDDLE ALONG.

8. TO REGARD ONESELF AS HAVING RENDERED VALUABLE SERVICE TO THE STRUGGLE AND TAKING OFF ON A EGO TRIP: THEREBY CAUSING ONESELF TO BECOME ALIENATED FROM THE PARTY AND THE PEOPLE. TO BECOME SO ENGROSSED IN THEORETICAL ANALYSIS AS TO FORM AN INTELLECTUAL ELITE.

9. TO BE AWARE OF ONE'S OWN MISTAKES BUT MAKE NO EFFORT TO CORRECT OR ADMIT TO THEM.

LIBERALISM STEMS FROM PETTY-BOURGEOIS SELFISHNESS, IT PLACES PERSONAL INTEREST FIRST AND THE STRUGGLE SECOND. AND THIS GIVES RISE TO IDEOLOGICAL, POLITICAL, AND ORGANIZATIONAL INCOHERENCE.

AND AGAIN: THE IDEA BEHIND PRACTICING LIBERALISM IS THAT IF YOU ARE EASY (LIBERAL) ON A COMRADE AND ALLOW HIM TO GET AWAY WITH HIS MANIFESTATION OF PETTY INDIVIDUALISM, HE WILL IN TURN BE LIBERAL WHEN YOU DISPLAY YOUR PETTY INDIVIDUALISM. LIBERALISM BRINGS ON PERSONALISM, RESENTMENTS, PREJUDICES, JEALOUSNESS, AND DIVISION.

--COMBAT LIBERALISM!

DIALECTICAL MATERIALISM AT A GLANCE

Dialectical materialism (d-m) is the philosophic system worked out by Karl Marx over a hundred years ago, and which today the revolutionary masses of the world have embraced.

D-m is the principles of ever changing reality as it is found in the material world, and whose rules every science must embrace, whether consciously or unconsciously, if progress and control of the material world is to be made. The human being and human society are an integral part of the material, but under western culture, while science and technology are so advanced that the moon and outer space may be explored, yet human nature, it is claimed, is so unpredictable that no sound science of human beings or of society can be truly formulated. ---Hence the continued chaos and turmoil of the modern world. "The bourgeoisie, in other words, attempt to place the blame of the state of the world on a "natural" chaotic propensity of human nature, and to hide the fact that a human world can be created --- if science is applied. D-m, they scream is a "schema" which the world cannot be made to fit into.

D-m, however, is not a schema applied to various phenomena, but rather is the operating principle existing in all phenomena. And so in every sphere of human activity, whether of economic, social, psychology, or whatever, the revolutionary seeks the dialectical and the material principle already existing in a phenomena. Having learned the principles of the particular phenomena involved, the revolutionary, like any scientist, can manipulate these factors by contributing one way or another to the principles already in motion --- and thereby bend reality to his will, master it, and bring it under control.

While d-m may be utilized by the individual in seeking his own private ends, ultimately it must be said that d-m is a peoples philosophy, and anti-bourgeois philosophy, because it shows that the overall motion of human history clearly indicates that the world's masses will eventually triumph over private property and establish a world order of peace,

and family-hood, free of exploitation and oppression. This was the truth which Karl Marx discerned and presented in unerring science. For this reason bourgeoisdom hates him, and would obliterate his science, but instead are themselves being obliterated by the inexorable motion of time, truth, and world revolution.

The following pamphlet does not propose to make a dialectician out of a comrade in one easy lesson, but is intended only as an introduction to the basic principle of d-m so that our movement of Black Liberation may be in step and harmony with the world-truth that will "make us free".

MATERIALISM AND IDEALISM

To begin: materialism in the Marxian sense does not refer to a philosophy in which one subscribes to self- aggrandizement through accumulation of wealth:

"By the word materialism the philistine understands gluttony, drunkenness, lust of the eye, lust of the flesh, arrogance, cupidity, avarice, miserliness, profit-hunting and stock exchange swindling -- in short all the filthy vices in which he himself indulges in private."

But rather materialism in the Marxian philosophy refers to the priority of that which is concrete and tangible over that which is intangible. By the same token "idealism" in the Marxian sense, does not refer to a belief in virtues. of high standards and values. If that were the case Marxist would be termed idealist for no one possesses higher standards or has higher hopes for humanity: but rather idealism refers to the belief and practice of putting that which is intangible (in the realm of ideas, spirit etc.) as having priority over that which is concrete and tangible. In short the age old question of mind vs. matter D-m discerns the priority of matter.

The most obvious idealism is a belief in God or supernatural forces. To the Marxist, God did not create the material world, but rather the material world, via the imagination of man, created god. The bourgeoisie seize upon this tenet of d-m and attempt to inflame prejudice and tangle the class struggle on the horns of a false issue. Religion is not the issue in so far as it is not used in a reactionary and oppressive manner. There are other forms of idealism which are more subtle, and infinitely more dangerous. The dangerous idealisms fall generally under the heading of subjectivisms. Subjective; that which comes from the mind. Mind, according to Marxism, definitely does not have priority over matter. Mind, in fact is a product --- an activity of matter: an activity of the physical brain without which there would be no mind. A mind has no existence independent of the brain anymore than sight has an existence independent of the eyes, or smell has an existence independent of the nose, etc. Yet for all the apparent priority of matter over mind, apparent at least in so far as time sequence is concerned, it yet seems to be an area which most aspiring Marxists seem to have the most trouble. The lumpen brothers particularly, quick to pounce upon any hint or suggestion of a god or of a religious proposition, superstition, etc., they often overlook the subjective idealism which spring from within; thoughts, ideas, feelings, love, hate attitudes, prejudices, and so forth. These things seem hard to recognize as idealisms. The root of the problem here is that these forms of idealism are real in spite of their intangibleness, and have their effect in the real world of cause and effect. That is to say people are motivated by love, hate, attitudes; people do things because of prejudices, because of their thoughts and ideas. But here again we emphasize priority.

Bourgeois theorists place priority on the subjective factors and attempt to account for the chaotic state of society as due to these unstable, unpredictable factors. Marxism, on the other hand, insist that the subjective factors are generated by the objective conditions. As a result we can see the antagonism in the two approaches. The bourgeoisie's approach to social ills is predominately an attack upon people, and ranges from everything to moral preaching to regenerate

the individual, to behavior modification, jails, and all the way to mass murder. Marxism, however, is predominantly an attack upon conditions, i.e. upon systems of oppressions which generate turmoil and misery and in the human psyche. That is not to say that both do not utilize to some extent objective as well as subjective factors, because there is recognition in both approaches of a certain interaction of the two. And so again it is a question of placing priority that determines whether one is taking an idealist or materialist approach.

For example an idealist might argue that a chair, a table, or whatever, existed in someone's mind as an idea, and was put on a drawing board before it became a reality. Hence they would say, the priority of mind over matter. To this argument it should be pointed out that it is actual concrete conditions which give rise to ideas. In this instance it may be necessary to go all the way back to the cave man days before there were chairs. The idea of making something to sit upon sprang from the actual practice of sitting; first perhaps upon a large stone; sitting upon a stone once it becomes a practice eventually gives rise to the idea of improving upon it by making it soft, or to seek something lighter or portable --- hence first here is reality --- then the idea arises which improves upon reality in a step by step process until the Chippendale is arrived at thousands of years later. The priority of the objective conditions are displayed in a twofold manner in this example; first that the objective practice of sitting give rise to the idea of chairs; second of how impossible it was for man to think of a chair as complex as a Chippendale until the production Process, the tools and technology existed which would make a Chippendale feasible. But the interaction of the objective and subjective are manifest throughout -- hence the Marxian emphasis on practice -- then theory -- then corrected practice -- then corrected theory and so on.

Marxism claims to be the science of revolution; the reason such considerations as materialism and idealism are so important is that in order to have a scientifically correct approach -- one must have a scientifically correct analysis. For example some of the forms of

idealism which one is likely to run into in the struggle for Black liberation are:

I. Racism. Racism is an attitude spawned by conditions. It has been pretty thoroughly substantiated by historians that the doctrine of white supremacy did not arise -- until a justification after the fact of slavery. Then it arose and rationalization of ruthless exploitation of black people. Such racism, once having come into existence is real and becomes a factor in black oppression. But the primary factor is the need of the system to have some mass of people whom it could exploit -- regardless of race. If a revolutionary placed his priority on racism as many are prone to do, and aimed his blows solely at white people and leave the system of exploitation intact, then at the end of his struggle he will have found that the face and the color of the oppressor may have changed, but black oppression remains.

II. The appeal to conscience. Another dangerous form of idealism which one frequently finds in the struggle is the "moral" appeal, the appeal to conscience. As a rule of thumb Marxism holds that one's conscience is likely to follow the dictates of his economic interest. This was one of the primary differences between the unscientific Utopian socialist of the pre-Marxian era and the scientific socialism of Marx & Engels. The Utopian socialist perceiving that the masses of humanity would not be so miserable if there was common ownership and equitable distribution of society's wealth. They worked out various plans and approached the kings and rulers to put 'these plans into effect. The "Age of Reason" it was called, hence the Utopians made appeals to the conscience of the world rulers to initiate the "golden millennium", not by class struggle, "but by(fiat)" Marxism, on the other hand, realistically perceives that the bourgeoisie will not be reason, bargained, or negotiated out of their position of wealth and power, and therefore it requires armed struggle on the part of the people.

Latter day Utopians such as Mahatma Gandhi, and Dr. Martin Luther King did indeed put the masses in motion, which was an improvement over their predecessors, but they depended upon the brutality and

suffering inflicted upon the masses to sway the conscience of the powers that be. Such a tactic always leaves the oppressor in a consolidated position able to dictate the terms of whatever new agreement (arrangement) that might be reached. Rulers under "non-violent attack" are yet to concede anything but negligible reforms. Even in India, while political control was turned over to brown faces, the bourgeoisie system of exploitation remains intact.

III. Personalism/petty individualism. One of the knottiest forms of idealism one is certain to encounter again and again is in the area of personalism/petty individualism. This type of idealism is based on personal prejudice, personal habit, personal likes and dislikes, innate fears, childhood, trauma, or any number of subjective reasons. It is expressed in a manner of giving pride of place to these factors rather than to do what is best for the whole group. The bourgeois doctrine of individualism so prevalent in Western society give further impetus to this idealism. This type of unscience pops up again and again at the organizational level, and few seem to recognize the idealism they manifest. Opportunism, the taking advantage of a situation at the expense of the struggle differs from personalism/petty individualism by the merest of hairlines -- if at all.

In summary we would say that Marxism is based in philosophic materialism that places a priority on the actual concrete conditions in analyzing cause and effect relationships. We must take into account in every instance the concrete conditions. Why did a baby die in a fire? Was it because God willed it, or was it because the house was a fire-trap? Why is the infant mortality rate so much higher among the poor than among the wealthy? Is it because poor people are (innately) inferior, weaker, more susceptible to illness; or does the better conditions inherent in a higher standard of living have anything to do with it? Why are the penitentiaries filled predominately with poor people? Are poor people innately evil, "bad", etc., or does the concrete conditions of their environment the overriding factor? And if so why aren't these conditions -- the cause attacked instead of the individual -- the effect? According to how one analyzes these situations will one

deal with them, hence be more or less scientific -- be more or less effective.

DIALECTICAL METHOD

In dealing with Marxian dialectics there are only three basic laws, and a scarce handful of related principles that one need concern oneself with. However there are important other consequences and ramifications which one should be acquainted with before he can fully appreciate the exquisiteness of dialectical materialism as a scientific tool.

Dialectics is first introduced into human society in ancient Greece. It was a method made popular by Socrates in which he examined a question or proposition from every conceivable angle -- in order to arrive at the truth of it. In this manner truth was perceived as being many-sided. This involved perceiving that a thing existed only in relation to that which was its opposite, that is -- its contradiction. There can be no up without down, there is no negative without a positive, color is meaningless without its contrast, etc. It involved perceiving all the related interconnections and their affect upon the thesis. One gathers, however, that with the Greeks, dialectics was primarily a system of conversing, of examining a proposition verbally, and was not a system of logic like, for example, the syllogism, with formal categories of inductive and deductive reasoning, with a major premise, minor premise, and a conclusion which logically follows. It was not until thousands of years later in what we may consider as relatively modern Germany (18th and 19th Century) in the hands of philosopher George Hegel that dialectics was formulated as a system of logic.

Under Hegel dialectics broaden the concept of contradictions to view everything in the universe as not only existing in relation to its contradictions --- but because of them. That the contradictions of primary importance were the internal contradictions, and that, indeed all things in the universe were undergoing constant ceaseless motion,

and that in this motion the contradictions worked themselves out bringing about change. It was Hegel who deduced the three basic principles of dialectics which are to be found at work in every thing in the universe. As such he could more appropriately deal with reality. The syllogisms are linear and logical, and do not account for change except in the most technical terms. Reality changes unceasingly, and is often neither linear nor logical, nor necessarily mechanical.

For example, if one were limited to the logic of Greek syllogisms, and posed a premise on hydrogen, a highly inflammable gas, and oxygen, another highly combustible gas, combining the two one would logically come up with another -- even more inflammable material. The truth of course is that he is likely to come up with water, H₂O, a non-inflammable liquid. Nature is rife with such non-linear phenomena, illogical by certain standards, and hence cannot be dealt with properly by the use of linear systems. The Hegelian dialectics, however, excels in dealing with paradox --- i.e. contradictions. Dialectics may be defined then as the dynamic (energetic) interconnectedness and interdependency of everything in the universe; their influence upon each other, and how they change due to the motion of their respective internal contradictions.

But dialectics under Hegel had a idealist base. He perceived the universe and all its endless, ceaseless changes as the "Absolute idea" working itself to perfection. We must assume that once this state of perfection were reached, then all motion, all change, the universe itself would cease. Marx "rescued" the dialectics, as he terms it, and, keeping its rational kernel, i.e. its basic principles and laws of motion of matter; he stripped it of its idealistic trappings and resolutely sought the explanation of things in their real and material connections, rather than in fantastic ones. In so doing Marx brought dialectics to its final leg of its journey toward being an unerring scientific tool.

In a nutshell the three laws of dialectics are these:

- 1) the law of quantitative changes leading to qualitative changes;
- 2) the law of interpenetration, struggle and unity of opposites; and
- 3) the law of negation of the negation.

1) The law of quantitative changes leading to qualitative changes refers to the process by which a thing grows at first quantitatively, that is in amount and/or in size; and then after a certain point is reached it develops into an altogether new quality as a result of its quantitative growth. There is nothing in the universe which can undergo a continual quantitative addition or subtraction without ultimately developing into a new quality altogether. This new quality is marked by a sudden and abrupt appearance called -- the nodal point.

For a very simplified example we might use a string with a weight on the end of it. If weight were continually added the string would become more and more taut until a point is reached when suddenly --- it snaps. The lax broken string would be in this instance the new quality come into being. A more complex example would be the birth of a child; after a certain period of continued growth, nourishment, and maturity of various combined factors; then at the end of nine months it suddenly begins forcing its way out of the womb, the mother goes through sudden and severe pain --- the child is born. The same can be said of any process; take a flower or a child: quantitative additions are made to this factor and that, suddenly a sprout has poked out of the ground; this sprout has its quantitative growth, a certain size is reached, then suddenly one notices a bud, in time as further growth is continued another new development suddenly appears ---a bloom. Or in the case of a child, a mother nourishing a baby may suddenly realize, by virtue of the child's actions that she is no longer a baby but a little girl; the little girl grows quantitatively --- then suddenly there is a young woman, again she grows through various physiological and psychological growth, and suddenly it is noticed she is decidedly a woman. And each new stage it may be noted is so different from the preceding one that it is indeed a new quality and not a mere

continuation of the old. Like a tree is qualitatively different from a sapling, a woman is qualitatively different from a girl. Notice again the abruptness of the change --- the nodal point.

2) In the second principle of the interpenetration, struggle and unity of opposites we take more into consideration the contradictory elements of play. (Though the contradictory elements are also an inherent part of the first law as well). We should here note that the contradictions primary to development are the internal contradictions. That is to say a thing develops from stage to stage according --- not to external influences, but rather because of the working out of internal contradictions. That is why when we apply a certain type of external heat to an egg it develops into a chicken; while applying heat to a rock produces at best only a crack in the rock. This is one of the principles of dialectics which makes it more in keeping with reality than other systems in that it teaches one to look inside of a thing to determine the nature of its development.

A thing usually consists not merely of a single contradiction (two opposing and conflicting elements) but a group of contradictions; and as Mao points out the contradictions are not equal:

"As we have said, one must not treat all the contradictions in a process being equal but must distinguish between the principal and the secondary contradictions, and pay special attention to grasping the principal one. But, in any given contradiction, whether principal or secondary, should the two contradictory aspects be treated as equal? Again, no. In any contradiction the development of the contradictory aspects is uneven. Sometimes they seem to be in equilibrium, which is however only temporary and relative, while unevenness is basic. Of the two contradictory aspects, one must be principal and the other secondary. The principal aspect is the one playing the leading role in the contradiction. The nature of a thing is determined mainly by the principal aspect of a contradiction, the aspect which has gained the dominant position."

In modern and Western society, particularly the USA for example, we see not one but a knot of contradictions. The contradiction between blacks and whites, the contradiction of crime, insanity, of poverty in the midst of plenty, the contradiction between bourgeois politicians and an apathetic constituency, the contradiction of inflation, recession, fuel shortages, energy crisis, tax loop-holes, tax "breaks", the contradiction in justice for the rich and the poor, and one could go on and on, but the principle contradiction, the one which influences the existence and development of each of the others is the contradiction between capital and labor. Then there is the dominant aspect of a contradiction from which a thing gains its name and nature. Capital being the dominant aspect in this example, hence the system is referred to as "the capitalist system." Once labor becomes dominant in the struggle of these the system will become known as a socialist system. (i.e. social production and social ownership of the means of production.)

Outside influences are important and have their bearing: they are said to be the conditions" of a thing's development. The dynamic interconnection of outside influences is that it contributes quantitatively to one or another of the internal contradictions causing it to become the dominant aspect --- or causing a diminishing of its changes to become dominant. Taking an example in the realm of physical science -- water, if we apply heat to it, one may think it is the heat which causes it to develop into steam --- but of course it is the contradictions internal in the water itself. If one were to apply heat to nitroglycerin would it turn to steam? The contradiction of the water which makes it turn into steam is the rate of speed of the molecules of the water. Fire is an external condition and contributes to the speed of the molecules --- a quantitative increase until the molecules suddenly leap (note the nodal point) from the body of water, and the new quality --- steam comes into being. Its temperature at any given point, the rate of evaporation, the amount left in liquid form at any given time reflects the stage of unity of these opposing forces at that particular time.

Examining the internal contradictions of water further, we might consider the mobility of the molecules as one contradiction (seeking to increase) and the cohesiveness of the body of water as the other. These two major contradictions exist in diametrical opposition, the one operating to disperse the molecules, the other to hold them together. Their existence together is not one of subtle balance, but rather is at all times attempting a struggle in which one of these qualities or the other is at all times attempting to assert its dominance in the material. When mobility is most dominant we have steam, when cohesiveness we have ice. The character of the thing itself, its appearance and quality as ice, as water, or as steam is determined by the stage of interpenetration of these two forces as they are tied together in struggle in a "unity of opposites."

These principles of dialectics can be found to be operating not only in the context of physical and social science, but included also is the very thinking processes of human beings. An individual may be going about with some outmoded concepts and notions in his/her head. He/she is introduced to a new body of knowledge. The new knowledge may not take at first, but a struggle goes on, even unconsciously as the individual digests, evaluates, compares in the light of his/her old concepts, and experience, sees it working in reality --- suddenly the light dawns.

Dialectics eliminates the static fixed "metaphysical" way of thinking in which one sees things as though they were permanent and unchanging. The bourgeois propaganda/education skillfully leads us to accept the idea that "this is the way things are, this is the way they always have been in one form or another, and this is the way they always will be." With such perspective one could not be expected to wage a struggle for fundamental change. One certainly cannot become a well rounded revolutionary unless one can perceive the dialectical process of a society changing all the way into its opposite. More people become politically conscious, become acquainted with the socialist alternative, more people begin to challenge the warped,

inhuman values which the system generates, more and more people begin to confront the insoluble contradictions of the system - finally the point is reached where civil war breaks out. War! The nodal point, the "...midwife of a old society pregnant with a new."

3) The third law of dialectics, the negation of the negation may perhaps shed even more light. The negation of the negation simply suggests the continued development of things. A thing is in one stage of development, its contradictions are worked out quantitatively and it becomes a new thing. The new quality is said to have negated the old. But the new quality brings with it a set of new contradictions which, as they work themselves out will ultimately negate that stage which negated the former stage --- ergo! The negation of the negation.

There are at least two other underlying principles which one should take into account in comprehending this law: one is the tendency toward spiraling and upward development. As a thing goes through its development from one stage to another, as the contradictions are worked out it tends to be the weaker and least favorable characteristics of a primary element which are eliminated, while its more favorable traits (favorable in relation to the opposing element) often continue to exist though is no longer dominant. As its opposing element becomes dominant, it creates condition in which new elements and new contradictions come into play. In the negating of this new dominant stage often the old quality which was retained from the former dominant contradiction may reappear --- but stronger and firmer, its negative qualities worked out in the earlier stage of its dominance. Hence there is a tendency for a thing to repeat itself as it is sometimes said of history. Or we have a type of development as can be noted in the rings of a tree of spiraling and upward. Upward in that these things tend to "repeat" themselves on a higher plane.

Thus each stage of society is an advance over the former stage: ancient slave civilizations were an advance over primitive communism, feudalism was an advance over slave civilizations, capitalism an advance over feudalism, socialism an advance over

capitalism, and in a return to communism we see a repeat of the old egalitarian principle on an infinitely higher plane.

Also in this principle of negation of negation we should consider the forward development of a thing in contradictions of directionless development. In some things the direction of development may be said to be quite relative. Take water for example, the development toward steam would be considered "forward" only if one desired it so. If one desired a cool drink then ice would be considered forward. It is possible then to change some things, in this instance the molecules of water from ice to water to steam --- or back again from steam to water to ice, its forwardness being relative to what a person desires. In that regard we may consider certain things as being neither forward nor backward, but rather directionless.

On the other hand take the development of a flower from a seed, to sprout, to bud, to bloom to seed. The direction here is all one way --- hence may be called forward in no uncertain terms. What causes some things to have directionless development and other things to have forward development is due to whether it relies more upon its internal contradictions for development, or upon external influences. Maurice Cornforth puts it succinctly:

"If some processes have direction and others have not, this depends solely on the particular character of the processes themselves and of the conditions under which they happen."

In general, since qualitative change in a process is always consequent upon quantitative change, it has direction when those quantitative change, it has a direction when those quantitative changes arise from conditions permanently operating within the process itself, and otherwise it has no direction. It has direction when (however conditioned by external factors) it is impelled forward by internal causes In that case the direction it takes is 'its own' just because it arose from internal causes."

In the human psyche we are confronted with the peculiar situation that some individuals develop "forwardly" while others are seeming "directionless." Those who are directionless are those who are manipulated back and forth by changes in the outside environment. --- Can be brought and sold by the holding out of material rewards. Similarly in the subjective realm psychologist have found they can manipulate an inferior or superior complex in some individuals simply by changing others' reaction to what the individual does. Likewise the more sensitive lower animals can be manipulated in either direction simply by changing one's reaction to it.

Though it should be noted that everything in the universe is influenced to some extent by its external environment, after a human being reaches a certain maturity (regretfully not everyone reaches this emotional/intellectual maturity) the outside influences become minimal and the individual consciously formulates a set of principles by which he/she guides him/her self. To be human is itself an almost wholly subjective quality, and what distinguishes a human and elevates him/her qualitatively above the animal is that the human is imbued with higher principles; reasoning, discipline, language, a higher sense of collectivism, sense of responsibility, sense of dignity, etc. Hence one who is consciously guided by higher principles has development which can be said to be forward. For example we see in non-western societies that aged persons are revered for their wisdom, knowledge prudence, sagaciousness, and dignity; while in western industrial society, having lost their usefulness in the production process, the aged are shuttled aside and forgotten. Such treatment precipitates in many senility and various infirmities associated with age. (for more discussion on "inward forward" development see Comrade Yuseph C's book Black Marxism/Scientific Soul Force (unpublished manuscript is available.)

This then is dialectical materialism in a nutshell. It is the Marxian method of analyzing change and development in a situation or thing by perceiving it in its dynamic interconnections, its motion, and with a materialist basis.

FOOTNOTES

1. Ludwig Feuerbach by Frederick Engels.
2. Selected Readings from the Works of Mao Tse-Tung "On Contradiction"
3. Materialism and The Dialectical Method by Maurice Cornforth

SOME QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION

1. What are two definitions of materialism?
2. What are two definitions of idealism?
3. What is meant by interaction between the mind and matter? Which has priority?
4. What is meant by subjectivism?
5. Name 3 kinds of subjectivism and explain how they lead to error.
6. What is the Marxian definition of dialectics?
7. What is the Nodal point?
8. What is meant by "quantitative changes leading to qualitative changes"?
9. What is meant by "unity and struggle of opposites?"
10. What is the negation of the negation?

DEMOCRATIC CENTRALISM (OPERATING WITH A CENTRAL COMMITTEE)

Too often the comrades have an incorrect or incomplete idea what democratic centralism and the central committee are about. Democratic Centralism and its key feature, the central committee are scientific organizational concepts formulated by Lenin which enables mass organizations to function with the unity and impact of a single closed fist. It is exceedingly important that this all important aspect of organizational structure be understood by every member of our organization so that we may guide our actions accordingly.

Democratic centralism and the central committee is organizational structure in conformity with the requirements of objective laws --- and subjective time laws as well. The objective laws which democratic centralism deal with are 1) laws dealing with the actual concrete situation balanced against 2) laws dealing with the needs and capabilities of a unified and coordinated organization. The subjective laws incorporated in the process are those laws respecting the humanity of the individual members. Laws in all three of these areas must be observed if unity and progress are to be maintained in the process of struggle.

Centralism of itself embodies two main ideas; authoritarianism on one side, and the coordinating and synthesizing of information on the other. Democracy is the method by which the humanity of the individual is respected by giving his/her ideas, opinions, and interest the force of law through election process. And so we see in the concept of democratic centralism the synthesizing of two opposing ideas, central authority and democracy, in which the two interpenetrate and take on the qualities of the other i.e. democratizing centralism, and centralizing democracy.

In democratizing centralism we recognize the need and primacy of central authority; that is to say that in order for a mass organization to be effective it requires a central authority whose word is law and

binding on all -- on pain of penalty. But the laws and policies formulated by central authority are not arbitrary and spun out of their head independent of the rank and file. Such a view of the central committee is described as "left" error?

"Our 'lefts' had a great love of centralism and more centralism as long as they were in positions of authority but once they no longer held the reins of leadership, they developed an aversion to discipline which they always saw as something to be imposed from without."

Left error (non-democratic centralism) leads ". . . to commandist and authoritarian methods of enforcement of discipline." In a word, to totalitarianism and oppression. So while we recognize the need and primacy of a central authority, we must democratize centralism and centralize democracy, which entails:

". . . that authority is something that flows from centralization of correct ideas, and opinions from which flows the authority of the central institution....A line flows from the scattered and unsystematic ideas and opinions of numerous individuals throughout the organization who, in turn gain their ideas in the concrete world of social practice, occasioned by their involvement in class struggle, in class wars with the revisionists, and the bourgeoisie. These ideas are summed up by the central institutions, synthesized with the indirect experiences of the international proletariat and then flow down throughout the organization as political line. This political line is further enriched by the discussion and debate resulting from putting the line into practice."

"Right" error, on the other hand, stems from the view that democracy is the dominant aspect of this unity of democracy and centralism. (see Mao Tse-Tung on Ultra Democracy" How to Correct Mistaken Ideas in the Party.) They complain bitterly of the authoritarian aspect and prefer a loose federation of individuals and/or collectives, each free to pursue their own course, and none bound to the will of the majority. Such a mode of operation causes factionalism, disintegration and often a spilling of blood of our own people. This

rightest error stems from petty bourgeois individuals and opportunism, and has no place in Marxist Leninist organization.

Democratic centralism is especially effective in above ground political organization, and from time to time many of us will operate on this level. But the exigencies of our historical circumstances (waging war in the belly of the beast) calls for the type of autonomous cells similar to those described by Carlos Marghella. In such an organization we cannot expect a tight application of the principles of democratic centralism. That is to say each team/cell/squad/commune is indeed free to initiate their own action, develop their own plan and deliver blows upon the system independently, spontaneously, and without necessarily being directed.

This does not mean, however, that our organization is a mere loose federation of individuals or collectives "doing their own thing" and free to operate in any manner they wish. Far from it. We must fashion an extraordinary and high principled organization that is proficient, disciplined, supple and well coordinated so that the force of our many thrusts will throw the system into crisis --- bring it to its knees for a death blow by the people. This means we must keep abreast of the motion of the entire struggle; we must coordinate our efforts, aid and abet one another, concentrate our attacks in certain areas at times, and ease up in certain areas at times. In other words, in spite of the ostensible autonomy of the team/cell/squad/commune, we must function as a whole, like a scientific boxer with perfect balance, precision and skill.

That means we must have a central authority to gather and coordinate information, ideas and opinions from every source; a central committee who can on the basis of the objective and subjective information gathered formulate a correct over-all strategy; and whose strategies and policies so formulated meet with consistent success, and the cause is significantly advanced as a result of it; and authority whose decisions every member, every team/cell/squad/commune is bound to follow on pain of punishment.

So in conclusion we say this; the revolutionary has a gun on his hip and the people's will in his heart. No one imposes their will upon the revolutionary (that is what the revolution is about in the first place). But the revolutionary is amenable to criticism, is guided by science, is sworn to high principle. The revolutionary cast his/her vote; and voices his/her opinion with the collective with confidence that the solution arrived at will take into account his/her report and be in keeping with revolutionary principles; that the line so formulated will have all possible contradictions worked out, will confront the proper contradiction(s) and expose other contradictions that their dangers be avoided. The Central Committee by absorbing the input of the rank and file guides the overall struggle. The rank and file revolutionary regards the Central Committee like the two fist regard the brain --- its our brain. Has there ever been effective fist without a brain?

FOOTNOTES

1. Moving On, May 1975 issue. Publication of the Revolutionary Workers Congress formerly the Black Workers Congress of Detroit. Article entitled "Two Line Struggle".

SOME QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION

1. What does the central committee formulate?
2. What are the objective laws which democratic centralism deal with?
3. What subjective laws are dealt with?
4. What is the "right" error in interpretation of democratic centralism?
5. What is the "left" error?
6. What is meant by "democratizing centralism?"
7. What is meant by "centralizing democracy?"
8. Is one free to disregard the directives of CC.?
9. On what basis does the CC. formulate its directives?
10. How does the revolutionary regard his/her CC.?

THE CLASS STRUCTURE OF SOCIETY

"What constitutes and distinguishes classes...is not primarily differences in income, mentality or habits, as is vulgarly supposed, but the places they occupy in social production and the relations in which they stand to the means of production, This is what determine their differences in income, habits, mentality, and so on." (M. Cornforth, Historical Materialism)

Class is determined by one's relations to the means of production - stated simply this means class is determined by what one does for a living. The means of production are the land, raw material factories, machines, etc. required to produce goods and services in society. Slave and master, for example, are not only a social relationship, but more importantly a relationship to the means of production. There is a distinct difference between the master and slave relationship to the same means of production. Likewise serf and feudal lord, capitalist and worker, share cropper, lumpen proletariat and so forth. It is important that we learn the general class differences of society and of what direction is the best interest of our people - as a class as well as a race. For to be unconscious of the class factors is to wage race struggle rather than class struggle and is to play directly into the hands of the exploiter. Capitalism thrives upon the division of the working class into contending races.

"Who are our enemies? Who are our friends? This is a question of the first importance for the revolution. To assure that we will definitely achieve success in our revolution and not lead the masses astray, we must pay attention to uniting with our real friends in order to attack our real enemies. To distinguish real friends from real enemies we must make a general analysis of the economic status of the various classes in Chinese society and of their respective attitudes towards the revolution." (Mao Tse-Tung; Analysis of Classes in Chinese Society, 1926)

A class analysis is one of the basic tools necessary to develop a correct revolutionary strategy. Heretofore there has been very little work done on a concrete class analysis on the US in general and no (or minimal) work done on a concrete class analysis in black and third-world peoples. Such an energetic undertaking cannot be done justice in a short work of this nature - but the basics can be laid out and some penetrating questions raised.

Black people as a whole (90%) fall into the class category of workers (proletariat) and as such our best interest is the economic and political defeat of the monopoly capitalist class - and the instituting of a workers state. But because of our skin color the bourgeoisie have found it convenient to set us aside for special oppression and super exploitation. At the same time they have found it strategic to raise the quality of life of white workers and thereby enlist their aid and support of the capitalist system. Yet in spite of that we can expect the Amerikkkan worker as an ally of the capitalist class to be only a temporary condition. As contradictions in world capitalism sharpen, inflation and depression, competition of other capitalist countries and of socialist countries, the winning of independence of third world countries and the growing trend toward socialism, etc., the bourgeoisie will no longer be able to shield the white worker from capitalist exploitation. The white worker may very well become radicalized and pursue his true interest as a worker via a worker state.

Until then, black and third world people must shoulder the task of socialist revolution and advance their own cause, and thereby the cause of humanity. It would behoove us, therefore, to get a clearer idea of the class structure of society and hence where we stand in relation to the world's peoples in the struggle for human liberation, i.e., the class struggle.

"...all past history was the history of class struggles; that these warring classes are always the product of conditions of production and exchange, in a word, of the economic conditions of their time..." (Engels, Socialism Utopian and Scientific)

The US can be divided into five basic classes. The original terms used to describe the classes derived from European terminology are listed in their original form - the more familiar US designation is enclosed in parenthesis. The five basic classes are:

- I. Bourgeoisie (Ruling class)
- II. Petit-Bourgeoisie (Middle class)
- III. Proletariat (Workers)
- IV. Peasants (small farmers)
- V. Lumpen-Proletariat (Bottom class)

These classic classes can be further broken down into the following general sectors and categories.

- I. Bourgeoisie (Ruling class)
 - Monopoly and Lieutenant sectors
- II. Petit-Bourgeoisie (Middle class)
 - Business, Managers and Professionals sectors
- III. Proletariat (Worker)
 - Laborers, operatives, crafts, transport, service, clerical, sales, house form, and semi-professional categories
- IV. Peasant (Small farmer)
 - Small farm owners and tenants/share croppers sectors
- V. Lumpen-Proletariat (Bottom class)
 - Tenuous and excluded workers and non-workers

"In class society everyone lives as a member of a particular class, and every kind of thinking, without exception, is stamped with the brand of a class" (Mao Tse-Tung, On Practice)

Thus if every kind of thinking is stamped with the brand of a class then we ought to be particularly concerned with how each class thinks and what makes them think this way-and how it differs from how we think (or should be thinking) and why we think as we do.

THE BOURGEOISIE (THE RULING CLASS)

The term bourgeoisie is derived from the old french word "bourg"- which meant "a town" or more specifically "a fortified town." During the feudal age in Europe most of the people lived in the countryside and were forced to work on the land. Those forced to work the land were called "serfs". The decline of feudalism began when serfs began running away from their lords (the land owners) - and congregating on various pockets of land. Mostly, those serfs possessing some handicraft or artisan skills were the first to run away. They used their talents to make various small merchandise to sell passing travelers, merchants, surrounding countryside and each other. The places they congregated to live and sell their wares were called "bourgs" in french - and "burgs" in english. The people of these towns became known as the burghers, etc.- they were the beginning of the bourgeoisie class, the merchants, the capitalist - today's ruling class.

The bourgeoisie or ruling class is that class of society who either own or control the major sectors of the economy - for example - the controllers of the top 500 companies listed in Fortune magazine. This class composes approximately 14% of the US population which also includes members of their entire family. This is a very small percentage of the overall population. It consists of the famed "Fifty Families", the Rockefeller, Vanderbilts, DuPonts, Morgans, Mellons, Fords, Hunts, Ludwigs and the Hughes empire etc all, joined together with their lieutenants, that is, the presidents of their companies, the president of the US a great many senators, heads of key agencies and cabinet members, Together this all group and their lieutenants make up only 14% of all the people in the US. Of the \$2 trillion a year gross national product these few people control almost \$1.8 trillion or 1,888 billion dollars. This is called the ruling class, the real capitalist and

their lieutenants. They own or control 90% of the wealth in the US. - in addition to that, this tiny minority owns or controls 60% of the world's wealth as well. It should be noted that in speaking of classes we are dealing in relative numbers. All classes comprise of at least several million people, but when we compare one or two million with hundreds of millions, then one or two million is a relative few.

THE MONOPOLY SECTOR

These are the people that actually own the means of production (i.e., the industries, factories, land, mines, railroads, banks, buildings, ships, etc.) - and they own approximately 25% of all the means of production in the US. out right. The remaining 65% is owned or controlled jointly (or collectively). In other words each of these monopoly capitalists owns various percentages of all the other ruling class holdings - so that all of them are interlocked - and all the wealth remains in their small elite circles, They maintain a monopoly on the wealth of the entire US. This small elite monopoly only about 25% to 50% of 1% of the entire US. population or between 500k to 1 million people which includes their families (wives, children, cousins, uncles, etc.)- so realizing that actual power is probably exercised by only one or two in each family-then the actual power brokers in the monopoly sector of the US. boils down to a small elite core of approximately 25,000 individuals.

"This (monopoly) sector is 100% white, overwhelmingly from the North or Western European background. There are no Third World people and only a rare Catholic or Jew. There are many women who hold title to the wealth that gives this sector its power; however, only a few of them actually administer it. Most of the women's holdings are administered either by a male relative, her husband, or a hired manager." (Judah Hill, Class Analysis: US. in the 1970s)

The above quote being true - and there seems every logical reason to assume it is - then it is obvious that the ruling class is almost totally composed of white Anglo Saxon (WASP)- who are the true "power

brokers" and "shot callers" in the US. This does not mean that there are no Irish, Italian, or Jewish ruling class there are- recently there are indications that more Catholic, Jews and Mormons are making it to the ruling class and giving stiff competition to the WASP in some sectors of the economy. The Jews are very competitive in control of the media sector (movies, TV, papers) and they also exert heavy influence/control in the educational sector (college, universities, N.Y.C. high schools, AFT Teachers Union, etc.)- the recent BAKKE controversy seems to be an indication of their influence in education. The Italians in particular those in the Mafia have made colossal fortunes in cash money off the illegal heroin traffic (and the blood of black and third world peoples.) They have put much of their money into legitimate industries and seem to be giving the WASP stiff competition for behind the scenes control of some industries. They obviously have strong control and influence in the labor unions and the Nixon presidential pardon of gangster Jimmy Hoffa is an indication of their influence and control, Howard Hughes' entire empire is controlled by Mormons- so the Catholics, Jews, and Mormons are making much greater inroads to the ruling class but they are presently only a few in this category. But oftentimes because we in the black community have more direct contact with the rich Jewish landlord, merchant, or media executive, the rich Irish politician, the rich Italian businessman (with the Mafia connections, background, etc.) - we tend to be easily convinced that rich Jews run the entire country, or the rich Irish or mafia runs the country. There are no doubt many, many rich Irish, Italian, Jew, etc. - and more of them are making it to the ruling class - but in comparison to the ruling class - their ratio is small, most are only rich flunkies, front men, lieutenants for the true ruling class, The real power yet remains in the hands of the white Anglo Saxon protestants - the WASP - that closed society - the true ruling class. Naturally there are no black or third world ruling class - and thus there is no true black and third world bourgeoisie in the US. - simply because no black/third world person even comes close to having as much money, power, etc. as the true bourgeoisie. Comparing the richest black person to the ruling class is like comparing pennies to a 1,000 dollar bill. It's also the primary reason the myth of black

capitalism by any black person; group or organization will always remain just that - a myth! The ruling class has so much more capital than any black group that they can afford to let any black capitalist group build up a certain amount of capital, industry, land and business until the blacks have invested all their money, then break them overnight as easily as one breaks a match stick, They pick up the spoils - and await some other foolish black group climbing the capitalist ladder naively thinking they are going to make it to the top and live the "good white ruling life." They too are soon broken and thrown back upon the heap because the ruling class is a closed society. It's 100% all white and overwhelmingly white Anglo Saxon Protestants - and they stick together to keep it that way.

Since many white ruling class females hold titles to the wealth and turn it over to male administrators, It's obvious that ruling class females wholeheartedly condone and advocate the policies of the ruling class males - thus the overriding concern of the ruling class is not a matter of white male supremacy or white female supremacy - but primarily white ruling class supremacy.

THE LIEUTENANT SECTOR

The lieutenant sector is usually the hired administrators of the ruling class. Often they act as the presidents of companies, sit on the board of directors and administrate the corporations and business of the monopoly. Some or quite a number of them may own small segments of the means of production but usually they do not own enough to control the entire industry and therefore most of their power comes from doing the administration or acting as front men/women for the true ruling class. Their other sources of power usually comes from holding state offices - such as the president of the US., the cabinet and advisors, the generals' of the various branches of the military and various other representative and delegated federal posts. The lieutenant sector generally has the same outlook as the ruling class, since they are the spokesmen and representatives of the ruling class - and therefore opposed to revolution because they would lose all their

wealth and privileges. Revolution would redistribute all their wealth among the poor, working and oppressed peoples.

THE PETIT-BOURGEOIS (MIDDLE CLASS)

This class consists of the middle men, the medium sized businessmen and managers, technicians, and professionals, They also own means of production — medium sized and small businesses - but they don't own/control them and their influence is usually limited to the regional level (statewide, etc.). Most petit-bourgeoisie either manage business, franchises, state bureaucracy or are engaged in some professional services such as doctors, lawyers, college professorships and similar occupations. They make their living by their administrative, business, professional or intellectual skills - and very few are directly engaged in production work on the physical labor level. The medium sized businessmen and franchise owners usually identify very closely with the bourgeoisie because of their similarity and direct business connections to the bourgeoisie, whereas the small businesses (mom and pop stores, barber shops, shoe repair, etc.) often times identifies with the cause of working people because of their direct connection, dependence and similarity to working peoples conditions. By the same token managers, supervisors, administrators also often times vacillate in their loyalty between the bourgeoisie and working class depending on which class they are closest to or more dependent on for their support. Professionals usually divide along similar categories. Those involved in work closely related to the bourgeoisie such as technicians, industrial scientists, think tanks, etc. usually align with the bourgeoisie. Those involved in more intellectual or social fields as college professors, art, literature, philosophy, social science, etc., often times identify with working class and oppressed people. As a rule the petit-bourgeoisie usually identifies and supports the ruling class but due to its intermediate position in the class structure - there are segments or elements in the class that range across the full political spectrum - from outright fascist to revolutionary.

THE PROLETARIAT (WORKING CLASS)

Proletariat literally means "urban worker." It is made up primarily of those people who work in production or services, must sell their labor power for wages and do not own or control any fundamental means of production. The working class makes up about 60% of the labor force in the US.. Black and third world people make up about 16% of the labor force in the US., - although 90% of black and third world labor force are workers. The working class produces almost all the goods and services in society - it produces the food, clothing, shelter, fuel, transportation, communications and other basic necessities to maintain life and better the living standards of the people. But since the ruling class owns and controls all the fundamental means of production, they rip off the lions share of the wealth for their personal benefit and only pay the average worker just enough to subsist. This keeps the worker enslaved through wages since he has nothing to sell but his labor power, Therefore it is in the fundamental interest of the worker to destroy the bourgeoisie - and thus regain the fruits of their labor, raise their standard of living plus free themselves from the oppressive control and exploitation by the blood sucking ruling class- by setting up a workers state. This process is called revolution- and it is necessary for workers to become conscious of its own best interest as a class first, it will then realize that its interests are diametrically opposed to the interest of the ruling class - and that it can only liberate itself by the destruction of the ruling class. Due to the excessive profits (?) from black and third world workers - both in the US. and through imperialism aboard - the ruling class capitalists are able to provide a portion of the plunder to their workers at home and in Europe, thus to a large extent buying off the revolutionary potential of the amerikkkan white worker - and the European working class. Racism is the primary tool it uses to give extra benefits to white workers and to whites in general at the expense of super exploitation and oppression of black and third world people. By the same process it gives a few extra crumbs to token Negroes through token appointments to political positions, industry, poverty programs and grants so as to create a token black petty bourgeoisie mentality in the

US. - in a similar attempt to smother, confuse and defuse the revolutionary fervor of the black and third world working class.

The US. is a very advanced technological and industrial developed society. Its working class has many different categories. Some categories which were or would be classified as petit-bourgeoisie in earlier times - or in less developed societies - are now classified as working class categories in the US. society. At present it's difficult to determine if this is due to advanced technology actually proletarianizing some previous petit-bourgeoisie job categories (white collar jobs, teachers, technicians, sales agents, etc.) - or whether it's due to over zealous class analysts being extremely liberal in their definition of the worker in terms of his relation to the means of production - and thus tilting the scales in favor of the worker. Any realistic class analysis of US. society will demand a thorough and penetrating analysis of the quantitative effect of advanced technology on the working class as a whole. Normal logic would seem to indicate that an increase in technology in a highly developed society would have a corresponding decrease on the total number of workers - so that in an advanced technological society such as the US. the overall ratio of the actual working class may be decreasing. This is probably the reason that present day class analysis tend to increase the categories of workers to include an ever widening circle of previously petit-bourgeoisie job categories- and lumpen proletariat classes. It is not the intention to analyze these factors in a short work of this nature but these are questions that must be given serious thought in any thorough going concrete class analysis of US. society. obviously present day class analysts shy away from tackling these questions because they do not fit (and may very well contradict) certain aspects of the classical theories of Marx.

Various categories of workers are laborers, operators, transporters, service, crafts, clerical, farm, sales, house and also some semi-professional and non-workers, Whites make up the majority of the "white collar" and craft workers while blacks and third world are predominately in the blue collar and service categories. Women

predominate in the clerical category. "Craft" jobs are usually the elite jobs of the working class and this category is more conservative than the average working category. Approximately 10% of transporter jobs are civil service (bus driver, subway, etc.) and blacks and third worlds have a higher representation in this segment of transportation - they are still highly underrepresented in the private transportation segment (long distance truck hauling, train engineers, etc.). Since transportation and communication play a vital role in the nations economy because they services and links all the other categories-these are two of the key categories that revolutionaries must influence. Operatives include the segment of mass production workers - those who can run the machines in the large automated factories, industries, etc. - obviously this is the heart of the working class - and it's the category that is fundamental to the revolution - it must be influenced by revolutionary (i.e., working class) consciousness or the revolution will have an extremely hard row to hoe. Farm workers, migrant workers are predominately black and third world and possess inherent potential that could assist rural guerrilla warfare. Service workers, house workers are another category that is predominately black and third world and also predominantly women - this category has an inherent potential that could definitely assist urban guerrilla warfare. The working class is a revolutionary class - generally it is oppressed, produces through the social organization of its' labor and is disciplined. Like the petit-bourgeoisie it contains elements that range from out right active fascists and reactionaries to out right active armed revolutionaries. The fundamental problem with the working class at present is that the white working class in particular shares in the booty that the capitalists has ripped off from the black and third world people around the world so that it has been in essence bought off - it's also racist and lack consciousness, The black and third world working class suffers super exploitation from this oppression and racism - and it's much more conscious than the white working class - but yet is still not well organized nor is it the largest segment and the US. still has enough surplus from imperialistic plunder to throw the black and third world workers enough crumbs (welfare, unemployment compensation, etc.) to keep

the ferment just below the explosion point. The effects of massive drugs channeled into the black/third world communities, TVs, ruling class ideology, prisons, occupying army of killer cops, liquor, sterilization, gadget consumerism, informers, spies, black capitalist organizations, misleaders, black mafia, etc. should not to be underestimated in its ability to retard the black/third world liberation movement.

THE PEASANT (SMALL FARMERS)

Small farmers make up the lower strata of the farming industry. They primarily fall into two basic categories (1) the small farm owner and (2) the tenant or sharecropper. The small farm owners are those who own their own small farms and spend most of their time and manual labor eeking out a living for themselves on this land. They may sometimes hire extra labor but the majority of the farm labor in this category is done by the farmer and his family. Usually they barely make enough to carry them over till next planting season and often times much or some of the farm produce is consumed to supplement their own livelihood (i.e., garden, fruit, etc.). The tenant or sharecropper are those farmers whose lifestyle is very similar to the small farmer - except they do not own the farmland but work for a percentage of the crops. The share-croppers' lot is even more precarious, exploitative and oppressive than the small farmer because he must share the product of his crops - and he does not own the land which can at least be mortgaged in lean years, The overwhelming majority of sharecroppers are black and are primarily located in the Black belt region and surrounding states.

Both the small farm owner and the tenant farmer are a vanishing class because of the devastating effect of large scale highly mechanized methods of farming which made small farming almost totally unprofitable plus it drove many of the previous farm workers to the city looking for industrial work. Many were unsuited or unable to find any type work except those hard, dirty oppressive Jobs that were shunned by the regular working class. Those that found jobs took

them, others that could not/would not, often time joined that large pool of surplus workers and the lumpen-proletariat class in the cities. Small farm work still provides a living only at the subsistence level - but strangely the long migration from the farm to the cities and from the South to the North it seems is gradually subsiding and even reversing itself. In particular more than a few black people are gradually deserting the cities and taking that "Midnight train to Georgia" back (somewhat) to that simple life they once knew - but with a higher political consciousness this time.

THE LUMPENPROLETARIAT (BOTTOM CLASS)

Lumpen literally means ragged. Proletariat means urban working class thus it follows that lumpen-proletariat means "ragged urban worker" - or the bottom ragged section of the working class. This refers to those whose relations to the means of production is highly insecure. When they do find work it's almost always the lowest paid, dirtiest and most oppressive work. The type of jobs available are limited to laborer, domestic, farm labor and the service category. There are many uncounted and undocumented aliens in this country who wind up in this class. Most people in the lumpen category are black and third world. Very often this class turns to "crime" to augment its' income. There are many similarities between the situation of the black and third world people in this class and the lumpen proletariat class in the colonial countries. Traditionally, Marxists have considered this class as non-revolutionary and untrustworthy. When race becomes a factor, it appears to make a difference in the revolutionary potential of this class. Franz Fanon pointed out in the Wretched of the Earth that in the Algerian Revolution the lumpen was in the vanguard. In the US. where a significant proportion of black and third world people fall into this category by virtue of the system's inability to absorb them into the mainstream, the Black lumpenproletariat again and again finds itself in the forefront of the struggle.

In the light of a highly advanced technological society - with increasing technology displacing more and more workers - it is

necessary to give serious analysis to this phenomena. It is the consensus of Marxist-Leninist thinkers, however, that in spite of the enhanced role of the black and third world lumpen-proletariat, that the working class is the vanguard of the revolution by virtue of economic historical necessity.

"CLASS AND THE INDIVIDUAL - The function of a class analysis is to enable a revolutionary to examine large segments of society and draw generalizations about those segments reaction to historical, economic, and social trends, and those segments' role in social struggle. Another function of class analysis is to provide a tool for analyzing ideology from the stand point of what class of society that ideology represents and serves. There are difficulties, however, in applying class analysis to individuals... It is difficult to make clear cut, absolute, predictions about an individuals' attitudes or actions based on class. It would be wrong to classify individuals as friend or enemy solely on their class position or background... Thus a person is a member of a class but also an individual, As a member of a class, his or her ideas and actions will be heavily influenced by class background and position, and these influences are deep, subtle, and in part unconscious. As an individual, a person is also influenced by many other factors---conscious and unconscious. It would be incorrect to relate to a person solely on the basis of class. It would be even more incorrect to ignore class factors in the social, political, and cultural actions and ideas of person. Lastly, it must be remembered that neither the consciousness of classes nor the consciousness of individuals is a static thing. Both change. In particular the consciousness of both classes and individuals is affected by class struggle." (Judah Hill, Class Analysis: United States in the 1970s)

THE POLITICAL SPECTRUM

BECOME FAMILIAR WITH THE ABOVE TERMS AND THEIR PLACE IN POLITICAL SPECTRUM

THE LEFT SIDE: We find socialism and organizations, political parties and people who advocate revolution, socialism, anarchism, communism. The more vehemently a person or party advocates revolution, socialism, etc., the further to the left he is said to be.

THE RIGHT SIDE: We have capitalism-imperialism and the bourgeoisie ruling class. On this side we have the organizations, parties, and types of people who advocate the continued exploitation of peoples. The more vehemently a person or party advocates keeping the people down and maintaining the "status quo" the more to the right he is said to be.

RIGHT OF CENTER: We have what is referred to as liberals and moderates, social democrats and so-forth. These people often espouse high ideals and sympathy for the people but in actuality they are for the bourgeoisie system. they propose piecemeal reforms to keep the people strung out and half-way appeased so that the people will not destroy the system.

LEFT OF CENTER: we find (at least in this country) certain civil rights organizations as NAACP, and Labor Unions (rank and file) which advocate reform for the people, and would earnestly like to reform the system to benefit the people--but they cling to their capitalist bosses, and usually end up selling out the people. The center is crowded with opportunists chasing the dollar.

SUGGESTED READINGS:

Historical Materialism by Maurice Cornforth

Socialism, Utopian and Scientific by Fredrick Engels

State and Revolution by Vl. Lenin

The Wretched of the Earth by Franz Fanon

Class Analysis: United States in the 1970s by Judah Hill
Quotations of Mao Tse-Tung by Mao Tse-Tung

SOME QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION:

1. What determines a person's class?
2. What class do the majority of Afro-Americans fall into?
3. Why is the amerikkkan white worker non-revolutionary?
4. What is meant by lumpen-proletariat?
5. What kind of state would be in the best interest of the proletariat?
6. What is a liberal?
7. What is a reactionary?
8. What is meant by "left of center"?
9. What is a leftist?
10. What is an opportunist?

DICTATORSHIP OF THE PROLETARIAT WHATS IT ALL ABOUT?

"Between capitalist and communist society lies the period of revolutionary transformation of the one into the other. Corresponding to this also a political transition period in which the state can be nothing but the revolutionary dictatorship of the proletariat." (Karl Marx)

The question has been asked, "What is the difference between socialism and communism?" and, "What is the dictatorship of the proletariat? Is a dictatorship necessary?"

Broadly speaking the answer to the first question is that the difference between socialism and communism is that under socialism a state apparatus exists, while communism is projected as a stateless society. Secondly, the dictatorship of the proletariat merely expresses the fact that a government or state of the worker has been established. And yes, it is necessary. But to leave the explanation at that is to fail to impart a sound understanding of socialism,

communism, and the dictatorship of the proletariat. To really comprehend what these things mean we necessarily must kick-up the entire nest of political terminology, capitalism, fascism, democracy, anarchism, etc., because these things are only truly understood in relation to one another.

But first it is necessary that we clear up some misconceptions about the state and its origins. According to the bourgeois education (indoctrination) we have been somehow led to believe that the state is an institution that has always existed in one form or another, and always shall exist. Modern man/woman under western influence cannot imagine a civilization without a state, and further, the westerner does not necessarily consider the state as primarily and foremost as -- an organ of force. We are taught that government is "by the people," and "for the people." When we do consider force and violence as primary characteristics of a state, it is always some other state and not good ole USA And so naive we are always "shocked" when the brute arm of the US. state shows through this heavy coating of deceit and propaganda/indoctrination. (the exception, of course, is those millions of us who live at the heart of contradictions of the system, and know the bourgeois state first hand as predominantly an organ of force.) The Marxian view, however, as articulated by Fredrick Engels in his historical study, "Origin Of the Family, Private Property and the State" shows clearly and in scientific terms that the state is at all times an organ of force, and what is more it is in every instance an instrument by which one class imposes its will over all other classes. Engels, after a careful and thorough documentation concludes:

"The state is therefore, by no means a power forced on society from without...Rather it is a product of society at a certain stage of development; it is the admission that this society has become entangled in an insoluble contradiction with itself, that it has split into irreconcilable antagonisms which it is powerless to dispel. But in order that the antagonisms and classes with conflicting economic interests might not consume themselves and society in fruitless struggle, it

became necessary to have a power seemingly standing above society that would alleviate the conflict, and keep it within the bounds of 'order'; and this power, arisen out of society but placing itself above it, and alienating itself more and more from it is the state."

"...Because the state arose from the need to keep antagonisms in check, but because it arose at the same time, in the midst of the conflict of these classes, it is, as a rule, the state of the most powerful, economically dominant class, which, through the medium of the state, becomes also the politically dominant class and thus acquires new means of holding down and exploiting the oppressed class. Thus, the state of antiquity was above all state of the slave owners for the purpose of holding down the slaves, as the feudal state was the organ of the nobility for holding down the peasant serfs and bondsmen, and the modern representative state is an instrument of exploitation of wage labor by capital."

I. DICTATORSHIP AS SYNONYMOUS WITH STATE

Therefore when the Marxist use the term "dictatorship of the proletariat" it is not intended in the strict sense as used by the bourgeoisie, meaning a state governed by a single individual who has absolute power, and arbitrarily dictates laws and policies: his rule enforced by police measures. Such a definition needs serious correction before it can serve as enlightening information. Even if a single individual had so called "absolute" power he is still ruling for a group or class of individuals or else he would have no base of support, and could himself be easily overthrown. If we examine the policies and laws enacted under --- whomsoever, be it Napoleon Bonaparte, Pinochet of Chile, Fidel Castro, or the US's Gerald Ford, we would find in each instance that the measures enforced insure that a certain class will benefit economically and politically, while another group or class(es) are being persecuted economically and politically. That is to say, exploited economically, and deprived of effective participation in decision-making processes.

Hence in a right-wing dictatorship such as know to exist in Chile and other countries, it is called fascism because the class for whom it rules is a small clique of capitalist and bourgeoisie individuals, and all pretense of political democracy has been dispensed with. Under such a dictatorship the people are sorely exploited and oppressed. They generally live in abject poverty, and have no say-so in the political process.

The bourgeois press attempts to play off left-wing dictatorships as equally reprehensible, and in fact make a great play on the term "dictatorship". They point at Stalin as the communist dictator of Russia, Castro as the totalitarian ruler of Cuba, Mao Tse-Tung as the dictator of China. (Notice they do not attempt to claim an individual dictator for other socialist countries; Poland, Albania, Romania, Vietnam, etc.) The truth of the matter is that these men, Stalin, Castro, Mao, and others made great contributions to their nations struggle for liberation, and in the process won by dint of dedication to unselfish principles, considerable esteem, and influence among the masses and among their peers; so much so that they came to preside over political communities who ruled for the working class. These committees enact economic policies for the upliftment of and aggrandizement of the great masses of people; policies which are inimical to the profit-making of that relatively small class of exploiter-owners referred to as capitalist, or bourgeoisie. Likewise the political power of the bourgeoisie is severely proscribed under socialism.

The United States is a dictatorship of the bourgeoisie. It is that class for whom the state apparatus rules. Economically the policies enacted ensure the bourgeoisie will garner the larger share of the wealth, and of course politically it is they who exercise control while the other groups, workers, peasants (agriculture workers), blacks and other third world peoples have only nominal political effectiveness, although they total a majority. Though in the US. a measure of political democracy does exist as long as it poses no threat to bourgeois rule. But more on that later.

II. PRINCIPLES OF HISTORICAL MATERIALISM

A nation, a state, or a society may in a sense be compared to a great building. A building has a foundation upon which is erected girders, beams, rafters, etc., as a superstructure. The remaining of the house is built in conformity with the foundation and superstructure. In a society the foundation is its economic system. The social superstructure are the laws, education system, culture, media, art, religion, and so forth. The economic base is reflected throughout the social superstructure, and the fabric of society. While not determined in a fixed way by this basic economic structure, the superstructure and fabric of society is yet inevitably consistent and in conformity with it in all important respects.

For example if a society is based upon cattle raising, then we are likely to find laws defining ownership, inheritance, and penalties for abridging ownership. The education system is going to be concerned with passing on to succeeding generations the knowledge of breeding, and caring for cattle; there are likely to be rodeo type festivals such as exist in Argentina and the western United States. Likewise the religion is likely to reflect the economic base, for example in the pastoral community of the middle east gave rise to the analogy of the "Good Shepherd". or if fishing were the main economic activity of a community then we are likely to find fish laws, fish festivals, fish gods and so forth.

In their examination and analysis of the various societies which have existed down through history, Marx and Engels discerned some basic patterns and general rules that hold true from one society, and culture to the next. The most obvious of these general rules was that those individuals who were wealthy and claimed ownership of the means of production were also the rulers of that society. (If not directly the rulers, then an apparatus of state was so arranged that those who ruled directly ruled in behalf of that owner-class and at their behest.) Another general rule that logically followed was that the social

superstructure was generally such that it served to promote, perpetuate, justify, and glorify the ruling class; while at the same time it downgraded, persecuted, and otherwise culturally, and legally oppressed the other class.

In modern capitalist society for example, the economic system is based upon urban industrial-social production, with the exploitation of the working class majority by the capitalist class minority.

Exploitation of labor is robbery. Seen in it's most lenient light it remains a self-seeking profiteering at the expense of others. With this as an economic base it is small wonder that 90% of bourgeois law concerns itself with maintaining bourgeois property relations rather than human relations and human rights. The education system seeks to impress upon young minds an outlook which justifies and glorifies the bourgeoisie. Robbery and selfishness being built into the economic foundation, then it likewise requires bloodletting and deceit on a consummate scale. These too are reflected throughout the superstructure as the prisons are crammed with those in violation of bourgeois property rights, young thieves are gunned down in the streets, and bourgeois armies patrol the face of the globe on "search and destroy" missions of rebel hide-outs. The television programs and movies (cultural outlets) are inundated with blood and violence. A multi-billion dollar propaganda has grown up whose sole job is to infuse selfishness and consumerism into the consciousness of the masses. "--You too can join the great American leisure class..." if you buy this product or that. A foreigner picking up such magazines as Ebony with it's glossy display of black success might be hard put to understand the rebellion of the black masses. Meanwhile, in real life, a working class youth sitting in the heart of the ghetto where, by dint of economic/political/culture pressure his family is disorganized, his neighborhood run-down, and the underemployed populace attempt to augment their miserly income with all sorts of illegal and quasi-legal activities. Such a youth sits in front of a TV. watching one program after another which portrays the so-called "average American family," (that is when the programs of violence are not on.) A perpetual display

of opulence and sugary plots: a father who works "at the office", and a mother who stays home and minds the house, and of course the "middle class" neighborhood (average of course) is at its affluent best. From the perspective of his own tumultuous reality, his family and friends who are a far cry from this image -- if he must look up to the Americans, then he must look down on himself. If the life portrayed there is superior, then he and all his must be -- inferior.

Such is the nature of things under the "Dictatorship of the Bourgeoisie."

Under socialism, the Dictatorship of the Proletariat, the economic system is yet an urban-industrial-social production base, but these means of production are owned by the workers, and the workers are the ruling class. (In this instance the various communist parties and peoples parties administer the workers state.) The law explicitly forbids exploitation of the labor of others, and are concerned primarily with ensuring human rights. The educational/cultural systems, schools, art, music, TV., etc., glorify and enhance the noble character of the workers selfless-ness is taught and practiced throughout and men/women are led to be motivated by higher principle rather than by that old nemesis of human relations -- the profit motive.

III. DEMOCRACY

We are led to believe through the amazing effectiveness of the bourgeois propaganda media that somehow bourgeois governments are not organs of force, are not instruments of class rule, but rather are "mediators" of the diverse interest of the people. Yet when we examine the term democracy we find that its essence is equality. Equal opportunity, equality under law, and equitable distribution of wealth. Political democracy refers to the equal rights of individuals and classes to participate in the governing process. Freedom of speech, freedom of assembly, freedom of the press and so forth are all regarded as components of political democracy. Bourgeois

propagandists would have us believe that the brute arm of their government is to ensure and enforce this equality!

Nothing is further from the truth. If democracy means equality, then capitalism is in direct opposition to it. By its very nature it operates to keep individuals and classes unequal. If the interest of capital is to be served then the interest of the worker must suffer, if the interest of white jobs (trade unions, etc.,) and white neighborhoods is to be upheld, then black and third-world workers must suffer. It is impossible for the state to serve the interest of all people as long as that people are divided by class issues which has at its base the exploitation of one class/race by another. This contradiction lies at the base of the bourgeois system and (reverberates) throughout society: in the race issue, the school issue, the draft, the war, crime, inflation, unemployment, tax-loopholes, industrial pollution, military budget, federal budget, etc. ad infinitum. When these contradictions become so heightened and sharp as to threaten the existence of bourgeois rule, the bourgeois overlords openly turn to fascism. (Under fascism the government seizes control of the economy and ensures the smooth flow of capitalist profit.) All pretense of democracy is dispensed with, and the masses are held in abeyance by brutal police measures. Black people have been living under what is essentially fascism for 300 years.

Cynically, the bourgeois propagandist attempt to portray socialist and communist systems as a threat to democratic freedom rather than as a threat to capitalist profit-making process. This is of course designed to give the common person a stake in "fighting communism." Socialism and communism with its equality in the economic sphere has no basic contradiction to democracy, and in fact democratic freedoms are broadened to include vast segments of the population which were formerly excluded under capitalism. Under a socialist revolution such as occurred in China, Russia, Cuba, Mozambique, Angola, etc., crime, prostitution and illiteracy are wiped out in a generation -- not because one is likely to go to jail for these things (on the contrary it is in the capitalist countries where the

prisons are bulging with humanity who have committed so-called crime) but because want and cultural deprivation are wiped out. In the socialist countries the schools and universities are openly free to all, and even the most backward peasant is introduced to the enlightenment of the written word. The child born in the ghetto under capitalism who aspires to become, say, a doctor, is not nearly as likely to attain this goal as a child born to well to do parents. Under socialism this situation is eliminated, and a child no matter what station his/her parents is carefully developed and may attain whatever goals his intelligence and aptitude allow. His/her equality under law is assured by the collectivist consciousness of his/her peers and of society.

IV. POLITICAL DEMOCRACY

The bourgeoisie propagate that the essence of political democracy is to have a multi-party system. Here again the hypocrisy and contradiction is glaring, for in their so called two party system --- there is no difference between the parties! Both represent capitalist interest, though different factions of capitalist interest. It was one of their own, the former president Franklin D. Roosevelt who made the remark:

"...the difference between the Democratic party and Republican party is the difference between tweedle de dum and tweedle de dee."

Under capitalism the people have no power and no party. And further the greater masses are lulled into political apathy and inactivity by the senseless din of the bourgeois press. The changes which are likely to occur in a poor person's life as a result of a change in the two political parties is almost wholly negligible, and people see that --- so why all the fuss? More than 50% of the people of voting age do not vote. That would total in actual figures more than a hundred million people. So where is all the vaunted democracy and freedom which Americans are suppose to enjoy? It was Lenin who pointed out that often capitalism operates best behind the facade of political democracy.

Under socialism the masses have much greater political awareness and participation. In fact socialist revolution cannot take place until the political awareness of the masses is quantitatively and qualitatively increased. Then socialist government is based upon the concept of the "soviet," and "council," the collective". At every level whether it is at the factory, school, or wherever, the people are led to make collective decisions and actively participate in the processes which govern their lives. Socialism believes in an inherent intelligence of the people, and after a certain amount of education to liberate their minds from bourgeois influences, they are able to make decisions which are consistent with their own interest and fair-play of all. That is why the slogan raised by the Black Panthers at the time when they were revolutionary, "All Power To The People" was such an exceedingly appropriate slogan because not only does it express the aim of the socialist revolution, but at the same time points out the contradiction of lack of power of the people under the current system.

Socialism as a rule, openly has only one political party, though within this one party there may be several factions representing divergent interest (industrial worker interest, agricultural worker interest, etc.), and divergent ideas on how to best implement socialism. The bourgeoisie, on the other hand, is allowed no voice or participation in political affairs. One cannot attain high office merely on the strength of one's glowing personality through a popularity contest such as the bourgeoisie palm off as democratic election; but rather as one manifest one's selfless devotion to socialist principle, and one's competency in the execution of one's duties, one may expect to rise accordingly. And the motivation to rise is not for money nor power --- but to serve the people.

V. ANARCHISM: OR, IS A DICTATORSHIP OF THE PROLETARIAT NECESSARY

Anarchy means in its strictest sense, simply a society without a government; without a state authority. Of course the bourgeoisie seize upon such an idea to imply a lawless society, or a society in a state of political confusion and disorder. Apparently there is no place in the bourgeois mentality that will allow of a concept of humanity living harmoniously without a state authority towering over them to keep peace and order among them. But anarchism as just such a concept is a legitimate category in political philosophy. As a political doctrine it stands for the abolition of formal government and free action for the individual, and other resources being common property.

History shows that in primitive times before the rise of class society that humanity lived in what was essentially stateless societies -- and they lived in peace. Some African tribes, American Indian tribes of the Eighteenth century, and some of the aboriginal tribes discovered in isolated spots in the Pacific and in South America are fair examples of how all humanity lived ten and twenty thousand years ago. These societies were essentially communistic in that the basic means of production (hunting grounds, food gathering areas, etc.), were held in common by the entire tribe. Their democracy was usually much more thoroughgoing than anything in modern times, in that the chiefs and leaders were selected democratically by the whole people including the women; and women had an equal say in community councils. The chiefs and leaders did not exploit the labor of others, nor were there any standing armies or police force to enforce the will of the chiefs or a clique of rulers. The people were the ruling class and the authority of the chiefs and leaders extended only as far as making decisions beneficial to the entire tribe. There was no theft from one's neighbors, murder, juvenile delinquency, and the deep grained social ills, that beset modern class society were either extremely rare or unheard of. An occasional war with a strange tribe may break out and result in a few deaths; but the warriors were all on a voluntary basis, and if one

thought for whatever reason that the conflict was not worthwhile one was free not to participate.

It is a return to a state of affairs on this order, although with modern adjustments that the anarchist advocate. They recognize the state as an instrument of oppression and of class rule, and insist humanity needs no such institution elevated above man/woman --- requiring slavish allegiance and subordination of the people. About a half century ago (about the latter part of the 19th century) the anarchist were very active in the class struggle and their activities were characterized by violent terrorist attacks upon the system. Today the violence of the anarchist has pretty much subsided, but the basic anarchist political philosophy has been taken over by various peaceniks, and pacifists. They correctly view the state and monopoly capital as the enemies of humanity; most have some acquaintance with communist ideology and are in agreement with it -- in part. But they issue an unequivocal NO to the dictatorship of the proletariat. They seek a pure democracy, a perfect democracy -- in an impure and imperfect world: Marxist-Leninist perceive the revolution as an ongoing process of which the political overthrow of the bourgeoisie is but the first stage. But it is the critical stage where the gains of the people must be quickly as possible consolidated, broadened, and protected by instituting a state apparatus to replace the old bourgeois state machinery which has been smashed. A few quotes by Lenin and Stalin best tell the story:

"For many reasons the bourgeoisie that is overthrown in one country remains for a long time stronger than the proletariat which has overthrown it. Therefore, the whole point is to retain power, to consolidate it, to make it invincible."

"...The transition from capitalism to communism represents an entire historical epoch. Until this epoch has terminated, the exploiters will inevitably cherish the hope of restoration, and this hope will be converted into attempts at restoration. And after their first serious defeat, the overthrown exploiters who had not expected their overthrow,

never believed it possible, never conceded the thought of it -- will throw themselves with tenfold energy, with furious passion and hatred grown a hundredfold, into the battle for the recovery of their lost 'paradise' on behalf of their families who had been leading such a sweet and easy life and whom now the common herd is condemning to ruin and destitution (or to common work)".

"For a long time after the revolution the exploiters inevitably continue to enjoy a number of great practical advantages: they still have money (since it is impossible to abolish money all at once), some movable property -- often fairly considerable; they still have various connections, habits of organization and management, knowledge of all the 'secrets' (customs, methods, means and possibilities) of management, superior education close connections with the higher technical personnel (who live and think like the bourgeoisie), incomparably greater experience in the art of war (this is very important), and so on, and so forth."

"The dictatorship of the proletariat is a most determined and most ruthless war waged by the new class against a more powerful enemy, the bourgeoisie, whose resistance is increased tenfold by its overthrow".

"The dictatorship of the proletariat cannot be 'complete' democracy, democracy for all, for the rich as well as for the poor; the dictatorship of the proletariat must be a state that is democratic in a new way -- for the proletarians and the property-less in general -- and dictatorial in a new way -- against the bourgeoisie..."

VI. COMMUNISM

"What we have to deal with here is a communist society not as it has developed on its own foundation, but, on the contrary, just as it emerges from capitalist society; which is thus in every respect, economically, morally and intellectually still stamped with the birthmarks of the old society from whose womb it emerges."

After capitalism has been defeated and the socialist state reigns for a time, then eventually the state under socialism "withers away", and we have a new type of society – a communist society. Communism is a stateless society which develops after the bourgeois class has been eliminated, and the minds of the masses have been liberated from the predatory influences of bourgeois society.

In that all states are based upon the cleavage of society into contending classes with irreconcilable interest, it logically follows that once class differences have been obliterated, and there exist only one class – the working class, then the need of a state to enforce and impose the will of one class over another begins to diminish. It is envisioned that the duties of the state will dwindle to mere managerial duties and paper work. The state as an instrument of force will "wither away", communism will have arrived.

But not only is communism distinguished from socialism by the absence of a state, but also the people will have developed so humanly that crime, insanity, and the myriad social diseases which derive from a jungle environment will have also vanished. In other words revolution at every level of human existence will have occurred, and selflessness will have become the predominant creed of humanity.

"In a higher phase of communist society, after the enslaving subordination of the individual to the division of labor, and therewith, also the antithesis between mental and physical labor, has vanished, after labor has become not only a means of life but life's prime want;

after the productive forces have also increased with the all-around development of the individual, and all the springs of cooperative wealth flows more abundantly -- only then can the narrow horizon of bourgeois right be crossed in its entirety and society inscribe on its banners: From each according to his ability, to each according to his needs."

CONCLUSION

And so it can be seen that the aim of socialist revolution of which Revolutionary Black Nationalism is a part, is the creation of a human society in which the latent intelligence and talents of all peoples are given free reign. This, of course, will not be easy, but only through prolonged and protracted struggle that can only culminate in the defeat of monopoly capitalism, can only culminate in the ownership of the means of production being placed in the hands of the people and exploitation of man by man has been brought to an end.

The revolution is not limited, however, to a political and economic takeover, but is thoroughgoing and continues into the realm of ideas as the entire social super-structure is given a complete overhaul and re-structured on socialist principles. To complete this stage of the revolution itself will require an entire epoch in which a state machinery is erected to consolidate the peoples gains, eliminate the bourgeoisie as a class, protect the peoples freedom and aid in the transformation of the human heart.

Finally a stage will be reached when this transformation is complete and the psychopathy in the economic foundation and the psychopathy in the minds of people will have utterly diminished, class society will be transformed to classless society, and there being no need of an organ of force to impose the will of a ruling class upon a people, because the people will be the ruling class. The state itself will be tossed upon the scrapheap of history, and as Marx states it the "prehistory" of man/womankind will have ended, and human history will begin.

QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION

1. What is the difference between socialism and capitalism?
2. Between socialism and fascism?
3. Between socialism and anarchism?
4. Between socialism and communism?
5. What are the basic contradictions between capitalism and democracy?

MARXIAN POLITICAL ECONOMY (SOME BASIC PRINCIPLES)

Marxism is a science dealing with the movement, changes, and reality of modern industrial society. In nature, motion, change, and reality is governed by blind unconscious forces. In human society, however, almost nothing is done that is not the conscious, willed set of someone; hence society appears at first to be governed by principles and rules wholly removed from the realm of material laws such as prevails in physics, botany, biology and so forth. In fact bourgeois theorists would have us believe that the will of man is so "spiritually guided" subjective, and unpredictable that no true science of society can be derived, at least not on a par with the physical sciences. Marxism gives the lie to these assertions, and cuts through the confusion and chaos of bourgeois pseudo-sociology with the consistency and precision of materially verifiable science.

Marx determined that the ideas in man's mind, the aims that they set themselves, and the emotions they feel, arise in response to their material conditions of existence, which include relationship with nature and relationship with one another in society. The key to the laws of human development Marx discerned, are to be found in -- first of all the economic prerequisites of human life: *"The simple fact that mankind must first of all eat, drink, have shelter, and clothing, before it can pursue politics, science, art, religion, etc."*

The Marxist Maurice Cornforth put it like this:

"It is the necessary condition of any human life that people should produce their means of subsistence and that they should enter into production relations corresponding with their productive forces...From these conditions arise definite interests, contradictions of interest, aims and ambitions. Ideas, passions, plans, and intentions arise in the mind of individuals accordingly, in response to these conditions of life. And so social life proceeds."

Hence the key to understanding of society is to be found in a society's mode of production and relations of production. The individual who purports to understand man and society without a proper acquaintance with the economic principles of a society and their ramifications is most probably caught up in idealism and self-delusion, and will be unable to come up with adequate solutions to the myriad social problems that confront him in everyday life. Economics is the basis, the foundation of all human relations, either directly or indirectly, and as the economic goes, as a rule--so goes human relations."

Here in the USA we live under a political economic system referred to as democratic capitalism. This system is characterized by high industrial and technological development, social production, and private appropriation. Capitalism is another of several economic forms under which exploitation of man by man take place. In addition to its high industrial and technological development, it differs from the classic forms of chattel slavery and feudalism in that under slavery and feudalism, the exploitation takes place in a direct and open way; slaves and serfs are compelled by law to work for their masters. Under capitalism however, the exploitation is hidden behind an exceedingly more complex set of social-economic relations, and in fact the exploited worker appears at first to be "free" because there is no law compelling him to work for any particular capitalist, nor any statute governing how the fruits of production are to be divided between the worker and capitalist. Hence the bourgeois theorists are

able to palm off capitalism as "free enterprise" for the worker as well. But the fact that the workers work and yet remain in poverty and misery, while the capitalist, not having hit a lick, continue to grow richer and more powerful has led the world's masses to come to their senses and analyze this social system in light of Marxian science which lays bare the essence of capitalist exploitation.

WHERE AND HOW DOES CAPITALIST EXPLOITATION TAKE PLACE?

The great fortunes of capital are not amassed through retail trade and commerce, through "buying cheap and selling dear" In modern times although a certain amount of profit is had in the retail commerce field, but by and large most buying and selling takes place between the capitalist themselves, and exploitation of the masses only takes place very nominally at this end.

"The bulk of sales are between capitalists; the capitalist whose workers produce raw materials (such as iron ore) sells to the capitalist whose workers produce semi-manufactured goods (such as steel tubes), who sells to another capitalist whose workers produce a finished product (such as bicycles), who sells to a wholesaler, who sells to a retailer. There are at the same time a host of transactions with sub-contractors supplying components (such as bells or brakes), with suppliers of machinery, of fuel, and so forth. It is very evident, therefore, that if a profit is made by buying below value and selling above value, what one capitalist gains another loses and the capitalist class as a whole is no better off. The capitalist class as a whole cannot overreach itself. The great profits of the capitalists--equal in magnitude to the total wages paid to the working class--cannot therefore be thus explained."

Yet we are so misled and beguiled by the fact that in capitalist society wealth "presents itself as an immense accumulation of commodities" that we see many of our people go into the retail trade business as soon as they are able to scrounge, borrow, or steal the bare minimum to become a "black capitalist." Much to their disillusionment they generally find that to own a corner grocery store, liquor store, or

whatever is a great hassle that requires total dedication, work, and sacrifice--just to keep their heads above the water. unable to take full advantage of the discount which accrues to wholesale purchase, they cannot compete with the monopoly retail capitalist who either own the wholesale outlet out-right, or make their purchases in such huge wholesale lots that their discount is enormous. As a result we see each year a great number of small business go to the wall. And those who survive, the innumerable "mom & pop" stores that dot the lower-income neighborhoods usually have prices scandalously higher than the prices found in the monopoly enterprises. They are victims of the illusion propagated by free enterprise that "everybody has the opportunity to become wealthy" in their system. When in actuality becoming wealthy under capitalism is like a bingo game, for every one winner there's a hundred losers.

THE THEORY OF SURPLUS VALUE

The great fortunes are amassed, and the exploitation of the takes place in the factories and points of production.

"(Marx) uncovered the essence of modern capitalist economy, explaining how the hiring of labor, the purchase of labor power, masks the enslavement of millions of property-less people by a small group of capitalist..."

Surplus value is the term given to the value created by workers over and above the cost of production, (the cost of production is raw materials, machinery, upkeep, and the wages of the workers.) This surplus value is viewed as profit by the capitalist. Marx points out that:

"The essential difference between the various economic forms of society, between, for instance, a society based on slave labor and one based on wage labor, lies only in the mode in which this surplus labor is in each case extracted from the actual producer, the laborer."

Here for example, if we were to compare hypothetically the capitalist system with the system of chattel slavery, we would have the slave master with, say, a hundred slaves at work in the fields. In a years time this hundred slaves may produce a million dollars in value in cotton. Out of that million dollars comes the cost of tools, fertilizer, seeds, etc., and the upkeep of the slaves may add up themselves, And of course slaves may eat hog guts and other refuse, wear rags, and live in shanty shacks. All told the cost of production and the upkeep of the slaves may add up to a few thousand dollars at most, That leaves \$997,000 dollars surplus value--profit in the pocket of the slave master who hasn't hit a lick.

Similarly in the factories we have say, a thousand workers producing several billion dollars in value per year. Out of these billions come the cost of raw materials, up-keep of machinery, and wages of the workers--all of which may add up to several hundred million dollars. What happened to the other billions of surplus value created by the labor of the workers? --into the pocket of the capitalist who, like the slave master has not hit a lick.

Let there be no mistake about it, this surplus value is the creation of the workers and not of the machinery. Given a raw material which already has a value (created by the hands of other workers) the machine merely transfers its own value over into the product little by little until the machine is worn out. It is the workers whose intelligence, skill, and physical dexterity which adds new value to the product.

The buyer and seller adds nothing new to the product and must derive his profit from adding a nominal for which is passed on to the consumer. On those occasions when there is a shortage of supply, and a high demand, the merchant capitalist may indeed gross extra profits. But in normal business transactions he only sets what is called "the average rate of profit."

As for the worker, very much like the slave he must be kept alive and fit to work. He must have food, clothing, and shelter; the necessities and wherewithal to sustain human life. While the slave master himself provides directly for the slave, the worker is given a wage by which to acquire these things for himself and family. And sure enough no sooner does the worker draw his wage than he pays it out to the merchant, the grocer, the landlord, and the loan shark.

What determines the general level of wages is the general level of the standard of living. In the mid-west, for example, where the standard of living is relatively moderate, a worker employed by, say Firestone Rubber Co. may earn something like \$150 dollars per week. On the east or west coast where the standard of living is higher we would likely find a worker employed by the same company paid \$200 dollars per week. But in a country like Liberia, or other Third World countries where Firestone has rubber plantations and processing plants, the cost of food, clothing, and shelter is extremely low, and the workers are paid something like \$.25 cents per day! And the surplus value created by these Third World workers is stupendous.

As point of fact all multinational capitalist make billions more in profit in so called underdeveloped and Third World countries than in their home country. Here we see the crux of imperialism:

1. Plundering of the raw resources of Third World countries.
2. Exploitation of the cheap labor supply.
3. The creation of a market where they may dump finished products.
4. the prevention of Third World Countries from developing industrially, and thus become competitors for their own markets, and for world markets.

THE POWER OF THE GIANT MONOPOLIES

Capital never stands still. It either marches forward extending its power, growing richer, replacing old plant and equipment with new, acquiring new undertakings and new markets, or else it is crushed by rivals. The hotter the rivalry between competing capitals the more is single-mindedness in the pursuit of profit a necessary condition of survival as a capitalist. Marx foresaw that in time, due to the rabid competition that great economic power would be concentrated into the hands of just a few giant monopolies.

This power of the monopoly capitalist is wielded in many ways. They virtually control the government and the military with bribes, kickbacks, and offer of lucrative jobs upon retirement from public life. They fix and raise prices to suit themselves. Wages, in spite of collective bargaining is offset by cost of living increases. The share of corporation's income going as wages remain the same. Management has more freedom in getting salaries--hence the corporations decide who is to become wealthy, They decide what kind of jobs are to be made available and how many. They decide whether or not to automate, the location of plants--regardless of how negative it may affect a local population. They decide what investment will be made, what will be produced, promoted, or shelved. All of these decisions directly affect the quality of life of tens of millions, but the people nor their politicians exercise any control over these areas of power.

THE DISTRIBUTION OF SURPLUS VALUE & ALIENATED LABOR

In the national product, the only area where value is created in terms of commodities is in the factories, agriculture, and construction. Yet society has many other areas of labor which, while not value productive, are socially useful and necessary labor. Labor such as doctors, nurses, teachers, lawyers, commercial clerks, and the machinery of state utilized to maintain so that monopoly giants can get government contracts to build tanks, planes, ammunition and etc.

Yet while it cannot be denied that the struggle of the working class to gain influence over government expenditures, it must not be overlooked that this too i.e. a piecemeal measure, primarily with "survival" and "educational value" for the workers; and not as an end in itself, Because in the final analysis if this struggle were won completely it would only mean the consolidation of the welfare state, and welfare is not socialism. Under welfare the people do not own the means of production, and the burden rests wholly upon the backs of the workers who must pay an increased tax to pay the cost. And the psychology is to make the victimized recipient of welfare feel ashamed of "living off the labor of others," Meanwhile the real parasites, the capitalist is getting away clean. Monopoly profits are not touched by welfare. The welfare state must be avoided. We must opt for total revolution.

The grip of the capitalist over state and local government (and national) is tightened further via "the public debt" or "national debt." In many instances, in order to administer certain programs, and fulfill state functions the government must operate at a "deficit." That is they just borrow money by floating bonds to the capitalist and capitalist finance institutions. But this money borrowed, unlike the loaned to industry, cannot be used to create surplus value, or otherwise compete with "free enterprise." Hence it can only be paid back through exacting taxes from the workers. Bourgeois law is further arranged so that the paying of public debt, "national debt" etc, is high on the priorities of legal imperatives of society. As a result we see such contradictions as the banks effectively taking over the administration of New York City.

So it can be seen that not only does the wealth of the exploiting class rest on the backs of the workers, but the entire civilization including the social superstructure of laws, courts, politicians, police, prisons, schools, cultural media, etc. But in spite of these things having been the creation of the worker i.e, the product of his labor, the own worker has no control of these things and by and large this social

superstructure is used against him. Alienated labor leads to alienated -[document unclear]-

"Rent is clearly unearned income. The landlord does no work, and he sells no labor-power; he receives his rent simply because he is by legal title the owner of land. However, the money that the landowner receives for allowing someone else to have use of his land is just as good money as that which the capitalist receives when he makes a profit out of the worker; money paid in rent can buy the products of other men's labor well as any other money. The landowner is very obviously not a recipient of wages, and what comes to him as rent cannot come from anywhere else but out of the product of unpaid labor. It cannot be anything but surplus value."

TAXES

For the most part unemployed persons, pensioners, armed forces, police, civil servants, government officials, politicians, and most doctors, nurses, and teachers (except for a small percentage employed privately) receive their incomes as state employees and it is derived from taxes.

Broadly there are two forms of tax. Direct taxes, namely direct deductions from income such as income tax, and indirectly; that is a tax which is added to the purchase price of commodities such as tax on tobacco. These indirect taxes are called "custom" tax an "excise" tax, and are paid by the importer or manufacturer to the state---but are passed on the consumer in the form of higher prices, Indirect taxation falls most heavily on the lower income groups. All the taxation; income tax, corporate tax, and indirect tax is effectively surplus value accrued to the state.

"State expenditures is, there, increasingly the battleground of class interest, the desire of the capitalist class being to use state finance to strengthen their domination, to facilitate means of increasing profits and dispose exactions that hit the masses of people. The working class

on the other hand fight for the improvement of social services, against state expenditure to buttress up the capitalist class"

In this respect we see how completely is the workers product used against him in that the capitalist utilize their profits exacted off the sweat and brow of the worker, to further maintain control of the surplus value appropriated by the state. They utilize state institutions, legislatures, courts, schools, police, prisons, etc., to keep the worker in check, and maintain the status quo. Also it is to the capitalist interest for the state to make ever greater multi-billion dollar military outlays [scan unclear] consciousness. Alienated from one's human self, and alienation of man from man. Exploitation becomes the rule of the day, the profit motive rather than human motives is in full sway. Men/women develop selfishly, materialistically, animalistically. Mass crime, mass insanity, mass family disorganization, and mass misery are the lot of the workers in the bourgeois state.

DEPRESSIONS

Another contradiction of primary importance is the tendency every 10 years or so for the capitalist economy to go into a slump period in which sales of goods fall to or below cost of production. The capitalist cannot make a profit, hence ceases production and a general laying off occurs throughout society. Such depressions or "business recessions" are caused by overproduction, the glutting of the market of capitalist manufacture. No one elucidates this point clearer than Marx himself:

"It is enough to mention the commercial crisis that by their periodical return put on its trial, each time more threateningly, the existence of the entire bourgeois society. In these crises a great part of not only of the existing products, but also the previously created productive forces, are periodically destroyed, In these crisis there breaks out an epidemic that, in all earlier epochs, would have seemed an absurdity--the epidemic of overproduction. Society suddenly finds itself put back into a state of momentary barbarism; it appears as if a famine, a universal war of

devastation had cut off the supply of every means industry and commerce seem to be destroyed; and why? Because there is too much civilization, too much means of subsistence, too much industry, too much commerce."

In these periods of crisis the fact that capitalist production is solely for capitalist profit becomes glaringly clear. In such times warehouses may be filled with clothes, dealer's lots may be crammed with cars, shoe stores may overflow with shoes; but unless the capitalist gets his profit everyone will wear rags, no one will ride, and we'll all go barefoot, The capitalists have created an economic system, wherein, although they themselves do not work, unless they some how receive payment there will be no culture, no education, no edification of the human spirit.

Another feature which becomes prominent during these times of crisis is the existence of the "industrial reserve army of unemployed." In times of widespread unemployment the labor market is depressed, and the bourgeoisie begin to promote the idea of overpopulation. The earth, they claim, cannot produce at a rate to keep pace with the ever burgeoning population rate. The birth rate must be controlled and reduced, they claim, and thereby justify their genocidal designs upon the Third World and poor people. What they mean, of course, is that their system cannot profitably accommodate the large masses of people. They cannot provide jobs--hence the masses must be supported on the public dole and great unrest exist. If there are less people, they reason, there will be less enemies of the system. Hence they murder the population at birth rather than let them reach the battlefield. The heinous game of the bourgeoisie never ends.

INFLATION

On the other hand, during boom periods when the capitalist economy is going full blast, with full production, and relatively full employment; supply generally lags behind demand resulting in higher prices--inflation. The workers then, in order to keep up with the ever rising cost of living strike for higher wages and greater fringe benefits. The monopoly corporations never take a loss of profits however--never. They compensate by raising prices which then accelerates the rate of inflation. They blame inflation on the worker of course, claiming if he had not made such extravagant demands in the first place...etc.

The fortunes of the capitalist are also devalued by inflation however. Their capital outlays cost more and more. When the workers of each company win a higher wage, each company attempts to pass the burden of the additional cost over to his consumer, which we have seen, is often other capitalists. Hence while the worker suffers in his quality of life during inflation, the capitalist is threatened with a pain in the pocketbook unless he can manipulate, juggle, and balance things out. It is therefore understandable that the government is prodded into acting to curb inflation.

The government attempts to curb inflation by putting a rein on how much money can be borrowed. They do this by increasing the amount of interest to be paid on Federal Reserve loans. This means the private banks, all of whom are underwritten by the Federal Reserve system, must also raise their interest on loans to capitalist. The capitalist doing a booming business is yet hesitant to borrow more money to expand production if the interest rate is relatively exorbitant. During slump periods the government attempts to spur business by lowering interest rates.

Other measures the government takes to curb inflation are putting a ceiling on prices and freezing wages. As has been noted under the Nixon-Ford administration, the ceiling on prices is a mockery, while the wage freeze is strictly enforced.

WAR ECONOMY

But depressions and recessions are not that easy to pull out of, and sometimes extraordinary methods are resorted to. And this is where the "war economy" comes in war periods are prosperous times for capitalist, and for the national bourgeois economy. During a war the government announces a high war budget; in the USA as much as 90 or 100 billion dollars are spent on military expenditures. When the capitalist sees this he knows he is going to get a government contract to build, tanks, planes, jeeps, arms, poison gas, etc. So he expands his factories and plants, hiring more and more workers. The workers, for their part, begin to spend money freely, buying cars, refrigerators, tape players, motorized skateboards, and electric can openers which in turn causes an expansion of automobile plants, refrigerator, television factories and so forth, meaning even more workers are hired, who in turn spend more. A boom period ensues, inflation is rampant, the bourgeois economy rolls.

On the other hand should the government announce a cutback in military expenditure--then immediately the capitalist closes some plants, restricts his production and cause thousands of workers to be laid off. The consumer dollar now being limited, consumer goods suddenly become a surplus far exceeding demand. Over production. A slump sets in. There are general layoffs all around.

Such is the nature of production under capitalism, chaotic, anarchistic, predatory cancerous.

"The bourgeoisie cannot exist without constantly revolutionizing the instruments of production and thereby relations of production, and with them the whole relations of society...Constant revolutionizing of production, uninterrupted disturbance of all social conditions, everlasting uncertainty and agitation distinguish the bourgeois epoch from all earlier ones. All fixed, fast frozen relations, with their train of ancient and venerable prejudices and opinions, are swept away, all new

formed ones become antiquated before they can ossify, all that is solid melts into air, all that is holy is profaned."

All mighty dollar.

CONCLUSION

Though in truth there was a time capitalism was progressive, was in fact--revolutionary. The capitalist mode of production, when it first arrived on the scene was an advance over the old feudal system of production. Through its development of science, industry, and technology capitalism made it possible that a greater number of people to be included in "the good life." Made it possible for a greater number of people to become cultured, educated, and with higher development of human potential. This could not had been possible except that capitalism developed and broadened the means of production. Marx, true to his scientific materialist base, points out in a criticism of one of his contemporaries:

"He (Stirner) imagines that people up to now have always formed a concept of man, and then won freedom for themselves to the extent that was necessary to realize this concept; that the measure of freedom that they achieved was determined each time...In reality, of course, what happened was that people won freedom for themselves each time to the extent that was dictated and permitted not by their ideal of man, but by the existing productive forces. All conquests of freedom hitherto, however have been based on restricted productive forces. The production which these productive forces could provide was insufficient for the whole of society and made development possible only if some persons satisfied their needs at the expense of others, and therefore, some--the minority--obtained the monopoly of development, while others--the majority--owing to the constant struggle to satisfy their most essential needs, were for the time being (i.e until the birth of new revolutionary productive forces) excluded from any development."

That was several hundred years since capitalism has been progressive, Today capitalism stands solidly in the way of human progress, Capitalism has so developed science and technology that there exist virtually no limits to its potential..[scan cut off here] socially, operated socially, and the fruits of labor appropriated socially.

With this major contradiction overcome in all important economic sphere, i.e., the dis-alienation of labor, we may expect a corresponding dis-alienation to take place spiritually, that is, in the human conscious. A human society will at least be possible, where family-hood of humanity can be more than just a religious yearning, but an actuality. Crime, insanity, and the mass misery that accompanies the predatory profit motive will assuage, freedom in more than the abstract context will be possible. All people will be free to develop their human talents and potentials, opportunity to seek a career in whatever field one wishes and qualifies for will be available to all. Political freedom of self-determination will be broadened to include direct people control over all the institutions of power which govern their lives. That would include economic, political, social, and cultural institutions. Socialism means revolution in every sphere of human activity.

BIBLIOGRAPHY & SUGGESTED READINGS

1. Engels Speech at the graveside of Karl Marx from Selected Works and Engels, New World Paperback
2. Historical Materialism by Maurice Cornforth p. 2 (Int' Publ.)
3. Political Economy by John Eaton p.65 (N.W. Paperback)
4. Lenin, Collected Works Vol II "Our Program", quoted in Political Economy by A. Leontieve (Proletariat Publ)
5. Karl Marx
6. Political Economy, John Eaton p. 130
7. Ibid, 214
5. Communist Manifesto by K. Marx
9. Ibid
10. German Ideology, K. Marx, pp. 115,116 (Intn'l Publ.)

SOME QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION

1. WHERE and how does capitalist exploitation take place?
2. What is means by surplus value?
3. What is socially useful labor, but not value productive.
4. What are the forms of unearned income?
5. How is the Welfare State arrived at?
6. How do depressions occur?
7. How does inflation occur?
8. How does a war economy occur?

TERRORISM AND BLACK REVOLUTION

Terror may be defined as indiscriminate violence and murder inflicted around people to break their resistance.

Terrorism is endemic to the system of capitalist exploitation. To begin with, it is terrifying to be robbed of the fruits of one's labor; to be paid bare subsistence wages for back breaking labor. It is terrifying to be required to stand helplessly by watching one's children and loved ones go without, and live miserably, culturally deprived, half lives for lack of money; to be evicted from ones' home because of inability to pay the rent; of living in a cold dark room/home because the electricity has been cut off. It is terrifying to have ones' children die and/or become mentally retarded from eating chips of lead based paint; of living in rat infested, plaster falling, plumbing leaking apartments and paying exorbitant rents. There is a note of terror in being denied a much needed job solely because one is black and/or female. There is terror in being denied ones' humanity and pushed around by bureaucratic "welfare" officials. There is terror in standing before a judge and being sentenced to a long prison term for a mistake one was driven into making. There is terror in "stop and frisk", and "20 hour detention" laws, These and more are terror inflicted daily, and

indiscriminately upon the people, bring great violence and disruption to their lives.

To enforce such a rule the bourgeois organs of force, the police are themselves denied the right to think and empathize with the masses. For they must control, patrol, and ride hard on the masses. Hence they are rigorously trained and conditioned to react to key words, "communist", "law and order", etc., and to kill on command. Thus My Lai, Soweto, Sharpsville, Wounded Knee, Watts riots, Attica, Jackson State, Kent State, Montgomery, Alabama, the Fred Hampton/Mark Clark raid, the S.L.A. "shoot out" (shoot in) etc. ad infinitum.

Terror, violence, murder, indiscriminate and merciless is one with the overall fabric of capitalism-imperialism, and we can never expect otherwise under this system--never. Because as long as the system is based upon mass robbery, there shall always be required its' twin evils of mass deceit and mass murder.

Yet for all the terrorism and foul murder on their part, the bourgeois media projects as "terrorism" any violent act of the people in quest of their freedom. Perhaps one of the most glaring examples of the cynicism and "double speak" of the bourgeois press occurred in a recent (September 1976) presentation off the bourgeois wire services in which we are told five Palestinian "terrorists": took hostages in a Lebanon hotel and *"demanded release of over 80 Palestinians held prisoner in Lebanon jails. Right wing Syrian forces," went the news release, "moved in and killed one of the 'terrorists' and four hostages. Capturing the other three "terrorists" the next day they hung them by the neck in front of the same hotel."*

Or again, perhaps one of the more infamous examples of bourgeois "double speak" was uttered by a US army commander in justification of his slaughter of innocents during the Vietnam war. *"In order to save the city", he said, "We had to destroy it."*

Yet the word "terrorism" is never used by the bourgeois media in connection with the atrocities committed by their forces, no matter how gross. Terrorism is a word strictly applied to revolutionaries. In fact it is an obvious attempt by these "opinion makers" and "mind shapers," to make the words terrorism and revolutionary synonymous. And the trouble is that all too often many of us are misled by it. Too many of us allow ourselves and our struggle to be defined by the deceit and propaganda of the bourgeoisie.

Revolutionary violence is indiscriminately aimed at people. Terrorism is inconsistent with the aims and principles of socialist revolution. Socialism stands for the uplifting of the masses, the family-hood of humanity, the edification of the human spirit. If the revolution is fighting for the people and trying to enlist the people's support--what sense does it make for the revolutionary to indiscriminately murder people, or terrorize their lives with measures that rob them of their dignity?

Take for example the "shoot out" at the San Rafael courthouse. Upon taking the jurors hostage, one of the rades, in a mistaken sense of revolutionary ethics, suggested they be "tied together by the necks." Willie Christmas, however, who took command of the situation after the heroic Jonathan had made his move, vetoed the suggestion. To dehumanize and humiliate people is "their thing," he postulated,"not ours." This act of revolutionary humanism did not go unnoticed by the people, and militated to the benefit of Angela Davis when she was tried, as one of the jurors who had been held hostage, recalled the incident and gave testimony of it.

Close scrutiny of the actions of the heroic Symbionese Liberation Army portrayed in the media as "savage terrorists", reveals that they were extremely scrupulous and selective in their violence, and in fact did much to advance the cause of revolution in the hearts and minds of the people. Or, compare for example, the numerous bombs planted by the Weather Underground, where in each instance they issue a telephone call to the target so that the workers may clear the area, and

fatalities avoided---with say, the right wing bombing of churches by the KKK in Alabama; blowing up children in Sunday school. Terror is clearly a tool of the right and not of the left.

We must not lose sight of the facts as we rise to wrest the freedom of the Black Nation. Black Revolution is Socialist Revolution, aimed at the monopoly capitalist class, its lackeys and agents, and not indiscriminately at white people. We must seek if at all possible to isolate the monopoly class from its white worker base of support, and bring about a cleavage in amerikkkan society such as occurred during the Vietnam war. This must be a conscious part of our strategy. We must avoid at all cost the types of misguided actions as the "Zebra" assaults where white working class people were indiscriminately cut down. It is only the monopoly class that can benefit from race war. We can be assured, in fact that they will promote our cause as a race war, rather than socialist revolution, and attempt to fan the flames of race hatred. They would not give a damn how much terror and violence we might inflict upon the white working class, Such, in fact plays directly into their hands and consolidates and reinforces their position.

Bombings, kidnappings, sniping, revolutionary executions, surprise raids, bank robbery, all of these are rightfully the weapons of urban guerrilla warfare. And as we use them we must take care to maintain high principles, and keep in mind that power to the people is more than just "campaign rhetoric", but is the whole aim of Black Socialist Revolution.

POLITICAL DICTIONARY

Absolute equalitarianism: the narrow and fixed view that "all things are equal" and that every situation, person or policy should be approached and dealt with in a uniform manner regardless of the actual circumstances, conditions and need.

Agent provocateur: one who joins a suspected group in order to encourage its members to commit illegal acts in which they may then be busted for. He/she pretends to be sympathetic toward the aims of the group that he infiltrates.

Amerikan ideology: economic development regardless of the cost to human beings; a few advancing at the expense of everyone else, and all whites advancing on the backs of blacks and other peoples' of color.

Anarchism: the philosophy of total freedom without any governmental structure, or state. It negates the necessity of the dictatorship of the proletariat to reeducate and organize the masses and protect the gains of the revolution as transitory stage in the development towards true communism where the state will wither away.

Automation: the process of using mechanical or electronic machines to do routine repetitive work automatically, instead of employing human hands and minds.

Black: a political designation to refer not only to Afro-Americans, but, to people of color who are engaged in revolutionary struggle in the US. and all over the world. It should not be taken to mean the domination of Afro-Americans or the exclusion of other people of color from black revolutionary organizations.

Black collaborator: those few blacks brought into the capitalist system at all levels, including such high levels as black capitalist, project

directors, administrators, etc., who have enough of a stake in the operation of the system to cooperate in pacification programs against their black brothers and sisters. The "house niggers".

Black revolutionary power: the taking of state power by Black Americans (Afro-Americans) in order to revolutionize the entire country on the basis of their enriched concept of man/woman.

Bourgeoisie: the rich and the super rich. The ruling elite who own and manage the means of production, viz: Rockefeller, Mellon, DuPont, etc. They are the real rulers in a capitalist society who dictate policy and has everyone else either working for them to maintain the status-quo, or those who must slave for them in order to survive.

By any means necessary: one of Malcolm X's famous statements advocating the taking of whatever extreme actions required to win our liberation.

Cadre: framework; a nucleus of trained, experienced activists in an organization capable of assuming leadership and/or training and educating (instructing) others to perform functional roles;

Capitalism: an economic system based upon the myth of free enterprise and private ownership of the means and profit. i.e. USA., France, Britain, W. Germany.

Capitalist accumulation: the major part of the profits derived from the exploitation of labor is reinvested in new and more advanced means of production.

Civil rights: rights that any society gives to every individual in that society if it treasures its legitimacy, or right to exist.

Class society: a tier system where the people are separated into different categories based upon their financial relationships to the means of production.

Cliqueism: the tendency to form into little groups based upon emotional or opportunistic alliances, which negates overall organizational unity.

Collective: a cooperative unit or organization that utilizes its strength in unity to struggle for common goals and objectives.

Colonialism: foreign domination of a country or people where the economic, political and military structure is controlled and run by the occupying force.

Communism: social system based on collective ownership of the of production, the absence of classes as well as the absence of any state apparatus, governmental control, etc...

Constant capital: capital invested in machinery whose value is simply transferred into the finished product on a pro rata basis.

Constructive criticism: the positive correcting and pointing out of mistaken ideas and incorrect practices, and the offering of concrete practical solutions that builds instead of tears down.

Contradiction: the basis two-sidedness of all things - opposites- their birth, growth and development and transformations.

Coup d' etat: the successful overthrow of existing authority in one audacious stroke, usually, by a section of the armed forces. Another name for a coup d' etat is a putsch.

Counterrevolutionary: someone or something that goes against revolutionary principles and practices.

Cyclical concept of change: the idea that things just continue to go around until they return to where they started, or in its more modern

formulation, "it has always been this way and it will always be this way".

Democracy: a system where the people enjoy the freedom and will to pursue their own destiny. They are actively involved in the governing process and have the right to vote, criticize and participate in the choosing of leaders, policy and programs.

Democratic centralism: the unity between freedom and discipline. Within any organization or society, you cannot do without democracy, nor without centralism. Every member of society is afforded the freedom to speak his/her opinion, make suggestions and criticize any errors on all levels, as long as the unity and discipline of the whole is maintained. Any organized society must have rules, principles and guidelines within which all members of society are expected to observe and respect. The principles are determined and governed by the needs, health and will of the people themselves .

DETENTE: the mellowing out and relaxing of hostile and strained relations between two or more nations, as between Russia and amerikkka.

Dialectics: the study of contradictions within the very essence of things. The scientific analytical approach to studying contradictions within nature taking into account the historical development and the interaction of related things. Dialectics holds that nothing exists independent, isolated or unconnected from each other, but that all phenomena are connected and part of the whole. They are dependent upon and determined by each other. Dialectics also holds that all things are in a constant state of motion, i.e change. They move from a quantitative level with constant small changes to a qualitative level with their very essence or character makes a giant leap to a new existence. These changes follow a definite pattern determined by the external and internal contradictions within themselves. This being that all phenomena are made up of opposing forces, i.e. internal contradictions, which are the basis for change and that all external

forces, i.e. external contradictions, interact and become the conditions or impetus to change.

Dogmatic: to be rigid and unbending; failing to take into account the changing conditions or the differences between one situation and another.

Economics: the study of social laws governing the production and distribution of the material means of satisfying human needs.

Emotionalism: the practice of acting out of passions, feelings and the spirit of the atmosphere. Spontaneity.

Empire: empire in these modern times represents the highest developed stage of a capitalist nation that is characterized by expanding its spheres of influence throughout the world, through using military, coup and financial-economic means to gain control over peoples and lands. Examples: Ireland as part of the British; Puerto Rico and Philippines as part of the US. Empire; South Mollucan Islands as part of the Indonesian Empire.

Empiricism: the practice of analyzing situations in a subjective manner based only upon individual or personal knowledge and experience, negating external investigation, history and knowledge.

Equalitarianism: concepts advocating, or characterized by the belief that all men/women should have equal political, social and economic rights.

Ethics: the study of standards of conduct and moral judgment (moral philosophy). Deals with ones social relations with friends, family and associates, irrespective of citizenship.

Exploitation: the unjust method of using people for profit and advantage.

"External causes" Concept of Change: a mechanical way of thinking which attributes change only to others or to outside forces. Applied to human beings, it acts as a barrier to revolutionary thinking because it leads the oppressed to depend on others or on changes in external conditions to make changes in and for them.

Fascism: a repressive form of government that takes on police state characteristics, in that, all forms of political, economical and social opposition is forcibly suppressed to maintain the status-quo.

Forces of production: in order to produce, instruments of production are necessary - tools, machines, means of transport, etc...But these do not produce anything by themselves. The forces of production, therefore, consists of the instruments of production, and people, with their production experience and skill, who use these instruments.

Feudalism: a repressive system of government where the common masses of people -vassals - renders service to the oppressor - lords - and in return receive protection and use of land.

Freedom: to understand the world, the environment around us, the forces that are acting on us, and to have the choice to deal with them so that the harmony of us with nature provides greater development for us.

General contradiction of capitalism: socialized (or group) labor but private ownership and profit.

"Grasp each end to pull forward the middle": this means, on the one hand, spending time with units which had achieved conspicuous successes, mastering their methods and helping them to advance still further. And on the other hand, working with units where failures have occurred, giving them guidance and help. After the experience of both the backward and advanced has been summed up, lessons are drawn

and publicized for the benefit of the mass of average units - "the middle" - so that similar mistakes might be avoided and successes achieved on the broadest possible front.

'Growth and split': a method used for expansion (in the peoples war, Vietnam), when a particular unit had developed to sufficient strength, an individual or group of individuals was detached to form the nucleus of another unit.

Hegemony: world wide occupation, control and manipulation by an imperialistic power over underdeveloped countries through economic, military and political domination.

Historical materialism: the dialectical and materialist approach to understanding the history and development of society, understanding the source and origins of social ideas, theories, political philosophies and institutions, i.e. the spiritual life of society. The spiritual life of society is determined by the condition of the material life of society.

Humane: beliefs, thoughts or actions that are centered on mankind and are concerned with helping all of humanity (men and women) move to a higher level of development and existence.

Humanism: a system of reflections about man/woman that regard him/her as the supreme good, and aim to guarantee in practice the best conditions for human happiness.

Idealism: the concept that states that mind is primary and matter is secondary; and that all things originate from the idea and that matter is only a reflection of what exists in the mind, as one perceives it. The physical world can only be conceived as relative to, or dependent on the mind, spirit, or experience.

Ideology: a systematic set of principles and beliefs relating to life, culture, politics, etc. Integrated assertions, theories and aims that constitute a sociopolitical program.

Ideological struggle: the non-antagonistic, nonphysical striving - verbally and through practice - between different ideologies in trying to prove themselves correct and those opposing incorrect.

Imperialism: the exploitation, rape and subsequent oppression practiced by one nation over another for greed and profit. The extension of capitalism into the international arena.

Individualism: a narrow selfish approach or outlook based upon putting oneself before the interest of the people, organization, and comrades. A bourgeoisie tendency expressed in the "bootstrap" theory.

Initiative: the self reliant exercising of one's imagination, creativity and the will to tackle all problems, and fervently develop new strategies and programs. Being in the forefront and taking the lead, i.e. to "Seize the time."

Insurrection: a concentrated attack upon existing authority by members of a oppressed group, usually with the intention of taking power, if only temporarily; during the course of revolutionary struggles or at the culmination of a process of revolutionary struggles.

Irresponsible criticism: frivolous and irrelevant correcting or pointing out of minor and needless prints, which, instead of building, tears down and obstructs progressive growth and development. Nitpicking which tends to sidetrack one from what really has to be done. Ungrounded and unfounded criticism. Criticizing without investigation and thinking first.

Islam: way of life that connotes total submission and obedience to Allah - Creator of the universe and all therein. The scientific and practical, economical, social, cultural and military life of all true Muslims (believers). The laws and doctrines of Islam are explicitly laid out in the Holy Qur'an

and through the Sun-nah traditions of the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him).

Islamic state: a system of government based upon the belief in one God. The Islamic state is structured under the universal principles of the Holy Qur'an.

Juche: The principle is that people must rely, first, on their creativity, human powers and natural resources to advance humanly and naturally.

Liberation: the state of freedom from a repressive or exploitative existence, where the people have gained control of their own lives and the right to self-determination.

Lumpen-proletariat: the under class, unemployed, marginally employed and those who live outside of the law, i.e. criminal element. The aged, infirm, and disabled are also part of this class because they are marginally employed, therefore, not a secure part of the productive process. Those on welfare, social security are also members of this class.

Machine politics: typical of a highly organized political organization called a "machine". "The machine" is ruthless, impersonal, interested only in results rather than in forms or programs and political ideology. Usually under the command of a boss. Spoils, favors and patronage supply the "oil" for the machine, and ruthless, often lawless men, the "muscle." (The politics of mayors Daley and Rizzo are examples.)

Managerial class: the managers of the capitalist enterprises and institutions who are involved in the day to day running of affairs, i.e. government officials, politicians , judges, corporate executives, etc.

Mass line: the political guiding principle of a revolutionary organization that must provide concrete programs for the systematic

transformation stage, of the oppressed masses through collective struggles.

Materialism: the concept that matter is first and that the world is material. All ideas and theories are secondary or subjective reality existing outside and independent of our mind. Matter is primary, since it is the source of ideas, sensations and conscious. The idea is secondary, derivative, since it is a reflection of matter determined by how man perceives nature and its development. The only real objective reality is the material world, i.e. matter.

Means of production: the means which, say capitalism, needs to undertake production, normally including factories, industrial plants, in which to produce, machinery and tools with which to fashion raw materials, and the new materials themselves, including auxiliary materials such as fuel and oil.

Metaphysics: spookism. That which exists outside of reality and cannot be perceived by the five senses. This concept states that the idea is the only true and permanent reality. Knowledge derived from acceptance of preexisting ideas is the only genuine and valid wisdom, i.e. religion, which is based on belief of Divine Word.

"Mind behind the gun": (Vietnamese) This means that propaganda must precede the organization of the oppressed masses into physical bases or units of armed struggle. Only when a people have been imbued with a new vision of themselves in a new political role, can they exercise, to the fullest, their specially humane qualities of will and courage, of initiative and ingenuity, of intelligence and skill, of creativity and unity.

Mode of production: the way in which people produce and exchange their means of life. Every society is based on this, which is which ultimately determines the character of all social activities and institutions. The mode of production is always social, for the material

goods required by the community are produced by the labor of many who carry on a mutual exchange of activities in producing the social product which is distributed among the community. The forces of production and relations of production, together, define the mode of production. Thus, a given mode of production consist of entering into certain relations of production in order to employ certain forces of production. And different modes of production are distinguished by differences in the forces, and relations of production. Example: slavery, feudalism, capitalism, socialism, communism.

Mojo: an Afro-American term meaning magic powers or influence. In political sense, it means the magical hands of the people, their power to define political, social, economical, spiritual and military phenomena, and make or cause to move in a desired manner, i.e. to bring about revolutionary advancement to the evolution of man/womankind.

Morale: the moral or mental condition of the individual or group with respect to courage, discipline, confidence, enthusiasm and willingness to endure hardship or sacrifice.

Morality: the code of human conduct with sets standards for the individual or group in relation to other individuals and groups. Differing from custom, it implies consciousness (conscious recognition) or acceptance of a desirable value.

Neocolonialism: foreign domination of a country or people by an imperialist power where the economic, political and military structure is manned and run by the native bourgeoisie. The imperialist maintain control of the economy because they continue to own the means of production, and the client state is totally dependent militarily and politically for their survival, i.e. Brazil, Philippines and Zaire.

New international: the growing body of developing nations, representing a revolutionary humanist force of billions which

imperialism cannot destroy short of atomic warfare powerful enough to destroy the entire planet.

New man/woman: one who is politically conscious, creative and socially responsible. One who puts politics in command of economics and will always work together for the best interest of their communities.

Objectivity: the method of analysis which takes into account the entirety of the situation. Approaching a situation with an open mind, wholly and completely, without prejudice.

Oppression: unjust and cruel exercise of authority to deny people their human rights, as well as, their right to a decent healthy life. Intolerable living conditions such as inadequate health, education, medical care, housing, etc.

Organic composition of capital: the changing proportion of capital invested in labor compared to that invested in machinery.

Petit-bourgeoisie: the middle class or privileged worker who enjoys a relatively comfortable level of existence. The small businessmen, entrepreneurs and self-employed. Artist, entertainers, doctors, lawyers and athletes belong to this class. They do not own or control the major means of production, but their main aspiration is to obtain the status, wealth and power of the bourgeoisie.

Philistine: a person regarded as smugly narrow and conventional in his views and tactics, lacking in and indifferent to cultural and aesthetic values, etc. Bourgeoisie, materialistic.

Philosophy: world outlook; how one perceives, understands and interprets life in general. Method of understanding the world history, contradictions and the development of things.

Policy: the objectives and goals which a group (organization, state, nations, international organizations) sets for itself, plus the means adopted toward the postulated goal.

Politics: a process by which the political and social decisions involving the organization of society are made. The method of solving contradictions.

Principles: the fundamental grounds on which a human being, organization, movement, cause or concept stands. Its basic aspects are expressed in terms of rules, codes, ethics, laws and statements of philosophical truths, which explain all examined natural, social and universal spheres, and/or guides human thought and actions to transforming the human world for the benefit of the human being.

Production: the human activity which adapts natural resources to human needs. A process of acquiring the material necessities of life, i.e. production of food, clothing, oil, etc.

Progressive: that person, organization, action, movements, phenomena or train of events in moving/raising the human, social, economic, political and scientific level of society. That which moves man/woman forward into the future, away from the past.

Proletariat: largest class which comprises the majority of the working masses. They must sell their labor power in order to survive. They represent the lowest level of the employed, lowest wages, less benefits and rights and usually have little or no power or control over their working conditions, management and planning. It is the class that has the most to lose due to the advent of increased technology, automation and cybernetics because they are being driven out of their jobs into the ranks of the unemployed. Marx classified them as the most potentially revolutionary class because of their sheer numbers as well as their social consciousness and needs which is directly tied to the whole process of production.

Propaganda: the publicized activity by which the party or revolutionary organization politicizes the masses.

Purpose: the reason for being or doing.

Putschism: the negative tendency of comrades, particularly leadership, to jump into a situation issuing commands without any investigation as to the circumstances of the situation. A commandist and arrogant practice, lack of patience and understanding.

Racism: the philosophy and practices which pursues or condones the systematic oppression of another race because that race is believed inferior.

Reactionary: characterized by tendencies toward backward and repressive political and social order and policy. Supportive of the repressive status quo. Those forces which oppose revolutionary change and actively work to prevent or destroy any progressive movement, country, etc.

Rebellion: an attack upon existing authority by members or an oppressed group, usually with the intention on the part of the rebels to take state power. It is usually spontaneous.

Reformism: the amending and making of internal changes within a system, such as by changing laws, introducing and funding or poverty programs to the people, without changing the whole system for what it is.

Relations of production: these are relations in which people in a given society must arrange and enter into by necessity to perpetuate human existence. Since the birth of class societies, these relations of man/woman and things have become property relations, where the property holders came to exercise a relationship of dominance, exploitation and wealth over the property-less. Other forms of these

relations are between master and slave, feudal lord and serf, landlord and peasant, capitalist and worker, etc.

Revisionism: deviation from and the manipulation and modification of the correct revolutionary line (or of any particular belief or practice) to suite one's own personal vested interest.

Revolt: an organized attempt to seize power, usually by a section of the armed forces, without prior organization of the masses in struggle and without any clear set of social objectives.

Revolution: a complete and radical change from one social system into another. violent and complete struggle waged by the people to rid themselves of an oppressive system of government into a more progressive and humane society. This includes not only the political structure, but also, the philosophy and ideology, mode of production, relations of production as well as the spiritual and social mentality and outlook of society.

Revolutionary army: an armed group of activists that arises from within and on the side of an oppressed group for the expressed purpose of carrying out the political tasks of a class or group, one of which is primarily to wage the armed struggle to eliminate the oppressor's armed protection forces that are used to repress - by any means necessary - the revolutionary thrust of the oppressed to liberate themselves and direct their destiny toward new and greater human and social relations among the oppressed and those who formerly oppressed them. Thus, the revolutionary army is distinguished from conventional armies by its members consciousness of the army's political tasks; its practice of democracy.

Self-consciousness: the capacity to reflect upon and learn from past experiences and practices and out of these reflections, to develop programs and plans for the future.

Self-criticism: Process of an individual or group

Socialism: a social system where the means of production are owned collectively by the people thru the states, where the basis for production is for the peoples welfare, not profit. The people are organized on all levels of society and play the active role in the a nt and decision making process. The peoples' right to the basic necessities of life is protected, most social services such as education, health and childcare are free. The people are represented by a Peoples Government who are dedicated to the principles of society and serving the people and humanity, unselfishly, regardless of sacrifice and free from corruption. People work and contribute to society according to their ability and receive according to their needs and conditions. There is no ruling class nor oppressed; no huge corporations or private ownership of means of production, industry, social services, etc... A society where the profit motive has been replaced with the concern for mankind.

Status-quo: the present existing state of affairs; keeping things just as they are.

Strike: a stoppage of activity by a body of people, usually for the purpose of expressing a grievance or of forcing management to comply with their demands.

Subjectivity: injecting ones emotions, passions, feelings in analysis which result in the narrow one sided method of drawing conclusions by failing to take into account the entire situation, and all of its relating factors.

Surplus value: the value which the workers produce over and above the value of his labor power; capitalist profit.

System: the thousands of words, object actions, thoughts, methods of 50 things and attitudes of judgment. The "way" all these things are put together for a common goal is called a system.

Technological development: the level reached by science and industry shown in what articles are produced as man tries to cope with his environment.

Theory: set of ideas assumptions and principles upon which one attempts to prove a certain conclusion.

Totalitarianism: a repressive form of government where strict controls on all aspects of life are imposed on society and the regime in power has total and complete authority and say so.

Truth: the laws that determine how a thing works; objective reality. Revolution is an effort to discover or to create truth, not to prove what is true.

United front: long term alliances of social classes and groups- women, youth, workers; cultural, regional, political- on a clear cut political program.

Unity: the working together Of two separate entities.

Unity-Criticism-Unity: the process of the members of a group, unit or organization united on a set of principles and objectives to struggle internally behind closed doors among themselves by working (practice) together, observing and analyzing each others errors and then offering constructive criticism to each other to correct errors and overcome any shortcomings in order to strengthen each other and thus advance the group, unit or organization towards its stated objectives. This is a continuous process of transforming old unities to new ones, in a continuous cycle. This is principled unity and struggle of theory and practice, which any organized body must engage in if it wishes to succeed in accomplishing its stated objectives for which it is limited in a particular form.